



## REVIEW REPORT 132-2020

### Regina Police Service

June 22, 2021

#### Summary:

The Applicant submitted an access to information request to the Regina Police Service (RPS). The RPS responded to the access request. It provided the Applicant with access to some records, but withheld others in part or in full. The RPS cited subsections 13(2), 14(1)(a), (c), (e), (i), (k), 15(1)(b), 16(1)(c), (d), 18(b), 21(a), (c), and 28(1) of *The Local Authority Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* as its reasons for withholding records. The Applicant appealed to the Commissioner. The Commissioner made several findings including that some of the exemptions applied to some of the records, but not all. The Commissioner also made a number of recommendations including that the RPS reconsider its exercise of discretion in its application of certain discretionary exemptions. Specifically, the Commissioner recommended that the RPS not take into consideration the Applicant's identity or relationship to the labour dispute when exercising its discretion.

#### I BACKGROUND

[1] On March 5, 2020, the Regina Police Service (RPS) received the following access to information request from the Applicant:

All Information between Regina Police Service and Federated Co-operatives Limited as well as their subsidiary Co-op Refinery Complex, in regards to the labour dispute. Including but not limited to: email, texts, SGT/Corp phone, reports/occurrences [sic], written orders issued, special duty requests from FCL/Co-op to RPS, any financials from FCL/Co-op to RPS, audio/video recordings, records of the phone given to RPS from Chad Davidson [sic] for immediate response, all internal audio recordings (radio transmissions), ops plans, inter office memorandums

[2] On May 12, 2020, the RPS responded to the Applicant. The RPS indicated it was withholding 1,745 pages of responsive records and one video in their entirety from the

Applicant. It cited subsections 14(1)(a), 14(1)(c), 14(1)(i), 14(1)(k), 16(1)(c), 21(a) and 21(c) of *The Local Authority Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* (LA FOIP). Then, the RPS indicated that the “remaining records” were partially redacted. It cited subsections 13(2), 14(1)(a), 14(1)(e), 14(1)(i), 14(1)(k), 15(1)(b), 16(1)(b), 16(1)(d), 18(1)(b), 21(a), 21(c), 28(1) of LA FOIP as its reasons for the partial redactions.

[3] On May 28, 2020, the Applicant requested a review by my office.

[4] On June 3, 2020, my office notified the Applicant, the RPS, and the third party that it would be undertaking a review.

[5] On August 19, 2020, in the course of the review, the RPS sent a revised letter to the Applicant indicating it was withholding 1,749 pages in full. It cited subsections 14(1)(a), 14(1)(c), 14(1)(i), 14(1)(k), 16(1)(c), 16(1)(d), 21(a) and 21(c) of LA FOIP as its reasons. Then, it indicated it was withholding the remaining records in part pursuant to subsections 13(2), 14(1)(a), 14(1)(e), 14(1)(i), 14(1)(k), 15(1)(b), 16(1)(b), 16(1)(d), 18(1)(b), 21(a), 21(c), 28(1) of LA FOIP.

## II RECORDS AT ISSUE

[6] A description of the records at issue is below:

- Working Copy – this is a 923 page record,
- Investigative Reports and Officer’s Notes - 661 pages,
- 1 video + 1 Investigative Report (13 pages),
- Approximately 370 emails of correspondence involving RPS legal counsel,
- Records listed on “Withheld Spreadsheet” – including letters/emails and spreadsheets, RPS Operational Plan,
- Watch Command records, and
- 142 pages that RPS asserted is not responsive.

[7] The Working Copy was redacted partially while the remainder of the records listed above were withheld in full. A greater description of each of the above records, and which

exemptions that were applied to them, will be provided in the body of this Report and Appendices.

[8] I should also note that this Report references the “Original Copy” of records, which was 1,012 pages. Pages were extracted from the Original Copy to create the Working Copy. This will be discussed in the Report.

### **III DISCUSSION OF THE ISSUES**

#### **1. Do I have jurisdiction?**

[9] The RPS qualifies as a “local authority” as defined by subsection 2(f)(viii.1) of LA FOIP. Therefore, I have jurisdiction to review this matter.

[10] I should also note that, in this review, the Consumers’ Cooperative Refineries Limited (CCRL) qualifies as a “third party” as defined by subsection 2(k) of LA FOIP.

#### **2. Did the RPS properly apply subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP?**

[11] RPS applied subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP to the majority of the pages in the “Working Copy” records listed on its “Withheld Spreadsheet”, and the Watch Command records. Below is an analysis of each group of records.

#### **Working Copy**

[12] Subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP provides as follows:

**14(1)** A head may refuse to give access to a record, the release of which could:

...

(k) interfere with a law enforcement matter or disclose information respecting a law enforcement matter;

[13] In order for subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP to apply, the following two-part test can be applied:

1. Is there a law enforcement matter involved?
2. Does one of the following exist?
  - a. Could the release of information interfere with a law enforcement matter?
  - b. Could the release of information disclose information with respect to a law enforcement matter?

*(Guide to FOIP, Chapter 4: Exemptions from the Rights of Access, Updated February 4, 2020, at pp. 74 to 77 (Guide to FOIP))*

[14] I will consider each part of the test:

***1. Is there a law enforcement matter involved?***

[15] Pages 74 and 75 of my office's *Guide to FOIP, Chapter 4: Exemptions from the Right of Access*, (updated February 4, 2020) (Guide to FOIP) provides that "law enforcement" includes:

- Policing, including criminal intelligence operations, or
- Investigations, inspections or proceedings conducted under the authority of or for the purpose of enforcing an enactment which lead to or could lead to a penalty or sanction being imposed under the enactment.

[16] To understand the term "policing", I refer to subsection 36(2) of *The Police Act, 1990*, which provides members with the following powers and responsibilities:

**36(2)** Unless otherwise indicated in his or her appointment, a member has the power and the responsibility to:

- (a) perform all duties that are assigned to constables or peace officers in relation to:
  - (i) the preservation of peace;

(ii) the prevention of crime and offences against the laws in force in the municipality; and

(iii) the apprehension of criminals, offenders and others who may lawfully be taken into custody;

(b) execute all warrants and perform all duties and services under or in relation to them that, pursuant to the laws in force in the municipality, may lawfully be executed and performed by constables or peace officers; and

(c) perform all duties that may lawfully be performed by constables or peace officers in relation to the escorting and conveyance of persons in lawful custody to and from courts, places of confinement, correctional facilities or camps, hospitals or other places.

[17] Further, I note that in Order 2000-027 at paragraph 17, the Office of the Information and Privacy Commissioner of Alberta (AB IPC) provided that “policing” relates to the maintenance of public order, detection and prevention of crime, or the enforcement of law.

[18] Next, I need to consider the term “matter”. At paragraph [23] of *Leo v. Global Transportation Hub Authority*, 2019 SKQB 150 (CanLII), the Court of Queen’s Bench explained that the term “matter” is very broad in the context of subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP and that “law enforcement matter” is not synonymous with the term “investigation”:

[23] The terms “lawful investigation” and “law enforcement matter” are not defined in FOIP, but courts interpreting similar provisions in other jurisdictions have determined that the meaning of the word “matter” in this context is very broad. The plain wording of the section also makes it clear that “investigation” and “law enforcement matter” are not synonymous terms. If they were, there would be no need to create a distinct exemption for each.

[19] Based on a review of the pages in the Working Copy to which RPS applied subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP, I find that some of the pages contain details of law enforcement matters. This includes details of RPS members carrying out their powers and responsibilities as set out in subsection 36(2) of *The Police Act, 1990*. As such, I find that the records to which RPS applied subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP involve law enforcement matters.

[20] I will now consider the second part of the test.

**2. Does one of the following exist?**

- a. Could the release of information interfere with a law enforcement matter?**
- b. Could the release of information disclose information with respect to a law enforcement matter?**

[21] To meet this part of the test, it is only necessary for the local authority to demonstrate that the information in the record is information with respect to a law enforcement matter. Pages 76 and 77 of the Guide to FOIP explains that threshold for the word “could” is somewhat lower than a “could reasonably be expected to”. The requirement for could is simply that the release of the information could have the specified result; however, there would still have to be a basis for asserting the outcome could occur. If the assertion is fanciful or exceedingly remote, the exemption should not be invoked. Further, the words “with respect to” are words of the widest possible scope; the phrase is probably the widest of any expression intended to convey some connection between two related subject matters.

[22] As stated earlier, the RPS applied subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP to the majority of the pages in the Working Copy. My office has reviewed each page to which the RPS applied subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP. My office’s findings are outlined in Appendix A. In summary, I found that subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP applied to the majority of the portions of the records to which RPS applied subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP as the release of information would disclosing information with respect to a law enforcement matters. However, there were instances in which I found that subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP does not apply, including the following:

- **RPS file numbers** on some of the pages. The release of file numbers on certain pages would not disclose information with respect to law enforcement matters. In its submission, the RPS explained “[e]xemptions were applied to remove (RA/RM File Numbers) that were written on pages. These RA/RM numbers identify a law enforcement matter that the applicant is not related to.” Regardless of the Applicant’s identity, I find that the release of file numbers would not disclose information with respect to a law enforcement matter. For example, the file numbers on page 376 is handwritten on a copy of a court order. The Court Order, which is public, was released to the Applicant. The release of the handwritten file number would not disclose anything further with respect to a law enforcement matter. Further, the file numbers on pages 510 and 524 appear to be related to news

releases, which has been made public. Revealing the file numbers would not reveal anything further regarding the law enforcement matter.

- **The majority of subject lines and attachment descriptions** were rather generic and the release of such information would not disclose information with respect to law enforcement matters.
- **Other records** such as the voice-to-text messages on pages 152 to 156 where one party was leaving their business contact information for RPS to contact them. The release of such information would not disclose information with regards to law enforcement matters. Further, the release of pleasantries, such as the first three text messages on page 490 would not disclose information with respect to law enforcement matters.

[23] Subsection 14(1)(k) of FOIP is a discretionary exemption. Pages 11 to 12 of the Guide to FOIP explains that the head (or the staff member delegated to exercise the discretion of the head) to weigh all factors in determining whether or not information can be released despite a discretionary exemption being found to apply. Some factors that should be taken into account when exercising discretion include:

- the general purposes of the Act (i.e. local authorities should make information available to the public, and individuals should have access to personal information about themselves);
- the wording of the discretionary exemption and the interests which the exemption attempts to protect or balance;
- whether the applicant's request may be satisfied by severing the record and providing the applicant with as much information as is reasonably practicable;
- the historical practice of the government institution with respect to the release of similar types of records;
- the nature of the record and the extent to which the record is significant or sensitive to the government institution;
- whether the disclosure of the information will increase public confidence in the operation of the government institution;
- the age of the record;
- whether there is a definite and compelling need to release the record; and

- whether the Commissioner’s recommendations have ruled that similar types of records or information should be released.

[24] At pages 12 and 13, the Guide to FOIP points out that the Supreme Court of Canada (Supreme Court) ruling in *Ontario (Public Safety and Security) v. Criminal Lawyers’ Association*, 2010 SCC 23, [2010] 1 S.C.R. 815. In that decision, the Supreme Court confirmed the authority of the Information and Privacy Commissioner of Ontario to quash a decision not to disclose information pursuant to a discretionary exemption and to return the matter for reconsideration by the head of a public body. The Supreme Court considered the following factors to be relevant to the review of discretion:

- the decision was made in bad faith;
- the decision was made for an improper purpose;
- the decision took into account irrelevant considerations; or
- the decision failed to take into account relevant considerations.

[25] In a review, I may recommend that the head of the local authority reconsider the exercise of discretion if I feel that one of these factors played a part in the original decision to withhold information, or if not exercised at all. However, I will not substitute my discretion for that of the head.

[26] In its submission, the RPS indicated it considered the Applicant’s relationship to the labour dispute when it applied subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP. The RPS indicated that the Applicant did not have a “need-to-know” the information about the RPS that is unrelated to them. The RPS said:

Consideration for sharing this information was also given to the relationship that the applicant had with the file. As he was not actively involved with the Labor Dispute, or mentioned in the file he is not in the need to know detailed information about Regina Police Services that is unrelated to him.

[27] I find that the Applicant’s relationship to the labour dispute is not a relevant consideration in the exercise of discretion. The only time an applicant’s identity should be taken into



consideration is when information in a record qualifies as personal information as defined by subsection 23(1) of LA FOIP. Generally, an applicant should be provided access to their own personal information, but refused access to other individual's personal information. Otherwise, the RPS should be applying all exemptions in Part III of LA FOIP to the same regardless of an applicant's identity. Any person, regardless of their identity or relationship to the labour dispute, is entitled to the same records in the possession or under the control of the RPS.

[28] Further, the RPS asserted the applicant is "not in the need to know detailed information about Regina Police Services that is unrelated to him". The need-to-know principle is a principle that should be adhered to in the collection, use, and/or disclosure privacy provisions in Part IV of LA FOIP is being contemplated, and not when a public body is processing an access request. In the case of processing access requests, the RPS is not in a position to determine whether the Applicant, or any other person, has a "need to know" information about the RPS. The purpose of LA FOIP is to facilitate public scrutiny of the RPS.

[29] Therefore, where I have found that subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP applies, I recommend that the RPS reconsider the exercise of its discretion to determine if it can release additional records to the Applicant. In its exercise of discretion, it should take into consideration the factors listed at pages 11 and 12 of the Guide to FOIP. It also should keep in mind that the transparency of RPS' actions and decisions, regardless of an applicant's identity or a relationship to a particular situation, facilitates the accountability of the RPS to the public.

#### **Records listed on the Withheld Spreadsheet**

[30] Appendix B of this Report lists the records that are on the Withheld Spreadsheet. The RPS applied subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP to records 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 31, 32, 33, 36, 38, 39 that are listed on the Withheld Spreadsheet.

[31] Based on a review of these records, I find that subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP applies to pages 24 and 28. On the face of the record, they clearly relate to a law enforcement matter

and the release of these pages would disclose information regarding a law enforcement matter. My findings are outlined in Appendix B. Similar to my comments earlier regarding the exercise of discretion, where I have found that subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP applies, I recommend that the RPS reconsider the exercise of its discretion to determine if it can release additional records to the Applicant.

[32] However, I found that subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP does not apply to the remainder of the records because the two-part test for subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP was not met. For example:

- **Records 3 to 7** are emails where a document was forwarded and received. The release of these emails do would not disclose information regarding a law enforcement matter. Therefore, the second part of the two-part test for subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP is not met.
- **Record 39** are spreadsheets documenting calls and complaints received by the RPS regarding the strike. While I acknowledge that calls and complaints received by the RPS can result in a law enforcement matter, the calls and complaints themselves (as documented on the spreadsheet) does not necessarily reveal information regarding a law enforcement matter. As such, I find the RPS has not demonstrated that subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP applies to these spreadsheets in their entirety.

[33] A full list of my findings and recommendations regarding the RPS' application of subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP to the Withheld Spreadsheet are listed at Appendix B.

### **Watch Command records**

#### **a. Event Logs**

[34] The RPS provided my office with Watch Command records. The majority of these pages are event logs of activities taking place in the City of Regina from December 2019 to March 2020. The RPS applied subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP to withhold the event logs. In its submission, the RPS indicated that a lot of the information in the logs did not relate to the strike. However, they included these pages to demonstrate its search efforts to my office.

[35] Based on a review of the event logs, I find that the majority of the event logs are clearly separate and distinct and entirely unrelated to the Applicant's access request. For example, the event logs include many different types of incidents unrelated to the labour dispute such as sudden deaths, missing persons, and domestic assaults. Therefore, the majority of these pages would be considered not responsive. These records should not have been considered in the processing of the access request. Later on in this Report, I will discuss records that are not responsive.

[36] In Appendix C, I list the portions of the pages of the event logs that relate to the labour dispute and would be responsive to the Applicant's access request. I find that subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP would apply to the responsive portions because, on the face of the record, I can tell that there is a law enforcement matter involved and that the release of the information could disclose information with respect to the law enforcement matter. My findings are listed in Appendix C. However, similar to my analysis of the application of subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP to the "Working Copy", I recommend that the RPS reconsider the exercise of its discretion in its application of subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP to determine if these particular responsive portions can be released.

[37] However, for other responsive portions, I found that subsection 14(1)(k) does not apply. This is because, on the face of the record, I cannot determine if there is a law enforcement matter or that the release of the information could disclose information with respect to a law enforcement matter. In other words, I find that RPS has not met the burden of proof set out in section 51 of LA FOIP, which says:

**51** In any proceeding pursuant to this Act, the burden of establishing that access to the record applied for may or must be refused or granted is on the head concerned.

[38] My findings are listed in Appendix C. I will consider if subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP applies to these particular portions later in this Report.

**b. Watch Command records – additional records**

[39] There are an additional 106 pages of Watch Command records that consist of emails, service requests, and the RPS responses to service requests. Based on a review of these records, I find that subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP would apply to some of the records because, on the face of these record, I can tell that there is a law enforcement matter involved and that the release of the information could disclose information with respect to the law enforcement matter. However, I do not find that subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP would not apply to all the records because, on the face of the records, I cannot determine that the release of these records could disclose information with respect to a law enforcement matter. In other words, I find that RPS has not met the burden of proof set out in section 51 of LA FOIP. My findings are listed in Appendix D.

**3. Did the RPS properly apply subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP?**

[40] The RPS applied subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP to portions of records in the Working Copy (Appendix A) and to records listed on the Withheld Spreadsheet (Appendix B). Below is my analysis on each group of records to determine if subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP applies.

**Working Copy**

[41] The RPS applied subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP to remove the names and/or contact information (such as email addresses and email signatures) of non-RPS personnel. These non-RPS personnel whose name and/or contact information was removed include individuals representing the parties involved in the labour dispute. It also included names of City of Regina employees, City of Regina councillors, and Government of Saskatchewan employees. In its submission, RPS indicated it did not have the consent of the individuals to release such information.

[42] Subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP is a mandatory provision that provides:

**28(1)** No local authority shall disclose personal information in its possession or under its control without the consent, given in the prescribed manner, of the individual to whom the information relates except in accordance with this section or section 29.

[43] In order for subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP to apply, I must first find that the information constitutes third party “personal information” as defined by subsection 23(1) of LA FOIP. Subsection 23(1) of LA FOIP provides:

**23(1)** Subject to subsections (1.1) and (2), “**personal information**” means personal information about an identifiable individual that is recorded in any form, and includes:

(a) information that relates to the race, creed, religion, colour, sex, sexual orientation, family status or marital status, disability, age, nationality, ancestry or place of origin of the individual;

(b) information that relates to the education or the criminal or employment history of the individual or information relating to financial transactions in which the individual has been involved;

(c) information that relates to health care that has been received by the individual or to the health history of the individual;

(d) any identifying number, symbol or other particular assigned to the individual;

(e) the home or business address, home or business telephone number, fingerprints or blood type of the individual;

(f) the personal opinions or views of the individual except where they are about another individual;

(g) correspondence sent to a local authority by the individual that is implicitly or explicitly of a private or confidential nature, and replies to the correspondence that would reveal the content of the original correspondence, except where the correspondence contains the views or opinions of the individual with respect to another individual;

(h) the views or opinions of another individual with respect to the individual;

(i) information that was obtained on a tax return or gathered for the purpose of collecting a tax;

(j) information that describes an individual’s finances, assets, liabilities, net worth, bank balance, financial history or activities or credit worthiness; or

(k) the name of the individual where:

(i) it appears with other personal information that relates to the individual;  
or

(ii) the disclosure of the name itself would reveal personal information about the individual.

[44] The list of examples of personal information in subsection 23(1) of LA FOIP is not exhaustive. There may be other information that qualifies as personal information if two elements exist. These two elements are:

1. Is there an identifiable individual? and
2. Is the information personal in nature?

[45] Based on a review of the Working Copy, I find that subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP applies to the names and contact information (such as email addresses) that are found in some of the records such as on pages 546 to 549. These are private individuals acting in a personal capacity.

[46] However, in most cases where the RPS applied subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, I find that the information does not qualify as personal information. This is because such information does not qualify as “personal information” as defined by subsection 23(1) of LA FOIP. The information of these individuals (such as names, email addresses, and information in an email signature) would qualify as “business card information”. These individuals were acting in a professional and not personal capacity. In past reports, I found that business card information would not qualify as “personal information”. For example, in paragraphs 25 to 30 of my office’s Review Report 186-2019, I said the following:

[25] However, the Ministry has also applied subsection 29(1) of FOIP to cellular telephone numbers of a third party business employee. In its submission, the Ministry indicated that the cellular telephone number was withheld because if released it would disclose personal information of an identifiable individual as the number is not publicly available.

[26] Business card information is the type of information found on a business card (name, job title, work address, work phone numbers and work email address). This type of information is generally not personal in nature and therefore would not be considered personal information. Further, in Review Report 149-2019, 191-209, I noted that business card information does not qualify as personal information when found with work product. Work product is information generated by or otherwise associated with an individual in the normal course of performing his

or her professional or employment responsibilities, whether in a public or private setting. Work product is also not considered personal information.

[27] In this case, the cellular telephone number is in the signature line of an email, which contains work product of an employee of a third party business.

[28] In Review Report F-2010-001, Review Report F-2012-006 and Review Report LA-2013-002, my office noted that section 4.01 the *Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act* (PIPEDA), which applies to every organization that collects, uses or discloses personal information in the course of “commercial activities”, carves out business contact information from the type of personal information that requires protection.

[29] Subsection 2.1 of PIPEDA defines “business contact information” as, “information that is used for the purpose of communicating or facilitating communication with an individual in relation to their employment, business or profession such as the individual’s name, position name or title, work address, work telephone number, work fax number or work electronic address.” This supports the conclusion that business card information is not meant to be personal information for the purposes of subsection 24(1) of FOIP when it appears in work product.

[30] The cellular telephone number, therefore, constitutes business card information and does not qualify as personal information in this instance. ...

[47] Therefore, consistent with my previous Review Reports, I find that business contact information does not qualify as personal information. I have outlined by findings and recommendations in Appendix A.

#### **Records listed on the Withheld Spreadsheet**

[48] RPS applied subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP to records 2, 21, 23, 25, 27, 30, 34, 35, 37, 39 and 40 that are listed on the Withheld Spreadsheet.

[49] Based on a review of the records, I find that subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP applies to portions of pages 21, 25, 27, 30, 34, 35, 37 and 39 since the information within portions of these pages contain information that is of identifiable individuals and is personal in nature.

[50] Based on a review of record 23, none of the information appeared to be personal in nature. Therefore, I find that subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP does not apply to record 23. Further, the information in record 40 does not appear to be personal in nature. Therefore, I find that

subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP does not apply to record 40. My findings and recommendations appear in Appendix B.

**4. Did the RPS properly apply subsection 18(1)(b) of LA FOIP?**

[51] The RPS applied subsection 18(1)(b) of LA FOIP to pages within the Working Copy, as outlined in Appendix A. It applied subsection 18(1)(b) of LA FOIP to bodies of records, email addresses, and email signatures. It also applied subsection 18(1)(b) of LA FOIP to photographs by the third party. Earlier, I found that subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP applies to many of the records to which RPS applied subsection 18(1)(b) of LA FOIP. When I find that a particular exemption applies to records (or portions of records), it is my usual practice to not consider if any other exemption applies. After all, there is no difference between one exemption applying and two (or more) exemptions applying – the outcome is the same – I recommend that the record (or portions of) be withheld. However, since I have recommended that RPS reconsider the exercise of its discretion with regards to its application of subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP, I will consider if subsection 18(1)(b) of LA FOIP applies. This is because subsection 18(1)(b) of LA FOIP is a mandatory exemption. If the RPS reconsiders the exercise of its discretion in its application of subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP and decides to release some of the information it withheld pursuant to subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP, it must still withhold the information if subsection 18(1)(b) of LA FOIP applies.

[52] Subsection 18(1)(b) of LA FOIP provides:

**18(1)** Subject to Part V and this section, a head shall refuse to give access to a record that contains:

...

(b) financial, commercial, scientific, technical or labour relations information that is supplied in confidence, implicitly or explicitly, to the local authority by a third party;

[53] My office uses the following three-part test to determine if subsection 18(1)(b) of LA FOIP applies.



1. Is the information financial, commercial, scientific, technical or labour relations information of a third party?
2. Was the information supplied by the third party to a local authority?
3. Was the information supplied in confidence implicitly or explicitly?

(Guide to FOIP, pp. 191 to 195)

[54] All three parts of the test must be met in order for subsection 18(1)(b) of LA FOIP to apply.

***1. Is the information financial, commercial, scientific, technical or labour relations information of a third party?***

[55] For the first part of the test, the RPS asserted the following:

The information was related to ongoing labor dispute and sharing that information could identify the management of personnel by a person or organization, we also considered that the two bargaining units were still involved in a current bargaining situation.

[56] Based on the above, it appears as though the RPS is asserting that the information is “labour relations” information. Similarly, the third party in this matter asserted in its submission that information qualifies as labour relations information.

[57] Page 192 Guide to FOIP explains “labour relations information” as follows:

Labour relations information is information that relates to the management of personnel by a person or organization, whether or not the personnel are organized into bargaining units. It includes relationships within and between workers, working groups and their organizations as well as managers, employers and their organizations. Labour relations information also includes collective relations between a public body and its employees. Common examples of labour relations information are hourly wage rates, personnel contracts and information on negotiations regarding collective agreements.

[58] In Order P-1540, the Office of the Information and Privacy Commissioner of Ontario (ON IPC) found that records containing discussions regarding agencies’ approaches to dealing with the management of their employees during a labour dispute to qualify as a labour relations information. Further, ON IPC found that information about contingency plans

and strategies to be employed by the agencies in their dealings with their employees during and as a result of the labour dispute to qualify as labour relations information. In contrast, in Order M-2164, the ON IPC found that the names, duties and qualifications of individual employees did not qualify as labour relations information as such information does not relate to labour disputes, or labour negotiations.

[59] Further, I note in Privacy Complaint Report PC-020022-1, the ON IPC accepted that a public body's video surveillance of picket line activity was about a labour relations matter.

[60] Based on a review of the records at issue, I find that many of the records to which RPS applied subsection 18(1)(b) of LA FOIP contains labour relations information. Specifically, records containing the CCRL's account or photographs of picket line activities that either delayed and/or blocked access to the CCRL.

[61] However, some of the records to which RPS applied subsection 18(1)(b) of LA FOIP would not qualify as labour relations information. This would include some of the subject lines attachment descriptions in email headers as well as email signatures.

***2. Was the information supplied by the third party to a local authority?***

[62] Earlier, I found that RPS qualifies as a "local authority". CCRL qualifies as a "third party" as defined by subsection 2(k) of LA FOIP, which provides:

**2** In this Act:

...

(k) "third party" means a person, including an unincorporated entity, other than an applicant or a local authority.

[63] Where I found the information qualifies as labour relations information, the information was supplied by CCRL to the RPS.

***3. Was the information supplied in confidence implicitly or explicitly?***

- [64] Information being supplied in confidence usually describes a situation of mutual trust in which private matters are relayed or reported. Information obtained in confidence means that the supplier of the information has stipulated how the information can be disseminated. In order for confidence to be found, there must be an implicit or explicit agreement or understanding of confidentiality on the part of both the government institution and the third party providing the information (Guide to FOIP, p. 195).
- [65] Both the RPS and CCRL asserted that information was supplied in confidence implicitly.
- [66] Implicitly means that the confidentiality is understood even though there is no actual statement of confidentiality, agreement, or other physical evidence of the understanding that the information will be kept confidential. The expectation of confidentiality must be reasonable and must have an objective basis. Whether the information is confidential will depend upon its content, its purposes, and the circumstances in which it was compiled or communicated (Guide to FOIP, pp. 195 to 196; *Corporate Express Canada, Inc. v. The President and Vice-Chancellor of Memorial University of Newfoundland*, Gary Kachanoski, 2014 CanLII 55800 (NL SC)).
- [67] In its submission, the RPS indicated that due to the nature of the information, its position is that confidentiality needed to be maintained. It asserted that the purpose for CCRL to provide the information to the RPS was “related to the commission of a crime or crimes and in some instances for the purposes of intelligence gathering.” It also asserted that the sharing of the images could jeopardize the security of the CCRL because the photographs would give away the location of CCRL’s video cameras.
- [68] CCRL, in its submission, asserted that there is a long-standing practice of the RPS to maintain the confidentiality of information that relates to law enforcement matters. It asserted that the confidentiality of such information is codified in section 14 of LA FOIP. It also asserted that the RPS verbally assured confidentially to CCRL employees during numerous interactions, including during meetings and daily briefings with assigned RPS employees. The CCRL also cited the confidentiality footer that is included in CCRL’s emails that says:

This email including attachments is privileged and may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, any redistribution or copying of this message is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately by return email and delete this message.

[69] When I consider the above, I find that both RPS and CCRLs' are arguing that for law enforcement purposes, the confidentiality must be maintained. Section 14 of LA FOIP contemplates law enforcement. I note that in most cases where RPS applied subsection 18(1)(b) of LA FOIP, it also applied subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP. In cases where RPS applied both subsections 14(1)(k) and 18(1)(b) of LA FOIP, I have already found that subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP applied but I have recommended that the RPS reconsider the exercise of discretion in its application of subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP.

[70] Below, I will consider the contents, purpose, and the circumstances in which the information was compiled and communicated to determine if the information was supplied in confidence.

[71] When I consider the contents of the records, they contain CCRL's account or photographs of picketing activities that occurred in public. That suggests there is a diminished expectation of confidentiality. While individuals acting in public may still have privacy interests, I note that the CCRL does not represent the privacy interest of the individual picketers.

[72] When I consider the purpose of the information being supplied by CCRL, both the RPS and CCRL indicated in their submission that the purpose was for law enforcement purposes. A complaint by one party against another cannot be withheld in absolute confidence by a law enforcement agency. If this was the case, then law enforcement agencies could not fully investigate a matter and maintain absolute confidentiality. It may be necessary to disclose information to a certain extent in order to properly deal with a law enforcement matter. Furthermore, the party who is the subject of a complaint may need to be made aware of the complaint that forms the basis of a law enforcement matter.

[73] Finally, when I consider the circumstances in which the records were generated, they were generated during a highly publicized labour dispute. An injunction (and subsequent amended injunction) was issued by the Court of Queen’s Bench on December 27, 2019 and February 21, 2020. The amended injunction authorized the RPS to assist the CCRL “in removing barricades by keeping the peace while the CCRL removes the barricades”. The amended injunction also provided the RPS with the authority to arrest and detain persons interfering with this action. Based on the court injunctions, it would appear that the contents (or, at least, the nature) of the information being supplied by the CCRL to the RPS could easily be guessed at. Given the public nature of this labour dispute, I find that there could not have been an expectation of confidentiality when the CCRL supplied the information to the RPS.

[74] Based on the above, I find that the third part of the three-part test for subsection 18(1)(b) of LA FOIP has not been met. I find that the RPS has not demonstrated that subsection 18(1)(b) of LA FOIP applies. However, as stated earlier, where RPS applied both subsection 14(1)(k) and subsection 18(1)(b) of LA FOIP, I have found that subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP applies. My findings and recommendations are listed in Appendix A.

**5. Does subsection 18(1)(c)(iii) of LA FOIP apply to the records?**

[75] The RPS did not apply subsection 18(1)(c)(iii) of LA FOIP as a reason for withholding access to records. However, the third party argued that subsection 18(1)(c)(iii) of LA FOIP also applied to the records to which RPS applied subsection 18(1)(b) of LA FOIP. Since subsection 18(1)(c)(iii) of LA FOIP is a mandatory third party exemption, I will consider the third party’s arguments.

[76] Subsection 18(1)(c)(iii) of LA FOIP provides as follows:

**18(1)** Subject to Part V and this section, a head shall refuse to give access to a record that contains:

...  
(c) information, the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to:

...

(iii) interfere with the contractual or other negotiations of;

a third party;

[77] My office uses the following two-part test to determine if subsection 18(1)(c)(iii) of LA FOIP applies:

1. Are there contractual or other negotiations occurring involving a third party?
2. Could release of the record reasonably be expected to interfere with the contractual or other negotiations of a third party?

(Guide to FOIP, pp. 213 to 217)

[78] For the first part of the test, the third party indicated that the records themselves were generated in the context of contractual negotiations between the third party and the union. The third party indicated while the negotiations for the specific collective bargaining agreement have been concluded, there are a number of potential future collective bargaining agreements that will need to be negotiated by the parties when the current agreement expires.

[79] For the second part of the test, the third party asserted that future negotiations may be negatively impacted by the release of the records. It said:

By way of example, the Records may be used against CCRL as part of those negotiations in a number of ways (for example, to create negative perceptions of CCRL, to create picketing and other strategies as a component of those negotiations with detailed information as to how CCRL may respond and where the CRC may be most vulnerable to such activities, and to counteract CCRL's strategies and responses to picketing, should that occur again in the future). Although obviously undesirable, these possible harms can be reasonably expected based on the demonstrated relationship between the parties.

[80] Based on a review of the records, I can confirm that the records were generated in the context of negotiations between the CCRL and the union. Earlier, I indicated that the records contain the CCRL's account or photographs of picket line activities that either delayed and/or blocked access to the CCRL. The records contain information that is static in time. That is, the records contain information of incidents that occurred during this

recent labour dispute and these incidents were reported to the RPS. The RPS' involvement is already publicly known through media releases issued by the RPS. The RPS carrying out their powers and responsibilities pursuant to *The Police Act, 1990*, does not reveal CCRL's strategies and responses to picketing. I do not find that the release of such records would result in the harms alleged by the third party. Since it was the third party who raised subsection 18(1)(c) of LA FOIP and not the RPS, I will not make a recommendation.

**6. Did the RPS properly apply subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP?**

[81] The RPS applied subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP to some of the records in the Working Copy, the Withheld Spreadsheet, and to the Watch Command records. In some cases, the RPS applied subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP to the same records it applied other exemptions to which I have already found to apply. For example, the RPS applied subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP to records to which RPS also applied subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP. In some cases, I have already found that subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP applied. It is normal procedure for my office to not consider additional discretionary exemptions where I have found other exemptions to have applied. However, since I have recommended that the RPS reconsider the exercise of its discretion for applying subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP, I will consider subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP.

[82] Below are my analysis for each group of records to which RPS applied to determine if subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP applies to records.

**Working Copy**

[83] Subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP provides as follows:

**14(1)** A head may refuse to give access to a record, the release of which could:

...

(i) reveal law enforcement intelligence information

[84] My office uses the following two-part test to determine if subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP applies to records:

1. Does the information constitute law enforcement intelligence information?
2. Could disclosure reveal law enforcement intelligence information?

(Guide to FOIP, pp. 69 to 71)

[85] In Review Report F-2014-001, my office noted Order M-202 by the ON IPC. The ON IPC explained the term “intelligence information” as follows:

The term “intelligence” is not defined in the Act. The Concise Oxford Dictionary, eighth edition, defines “intelligence” as “the collection of information, [especially] of military or political value”, and “intelligence department” as “a [usually] government department engaged in collecting [especially] secret information”.

The Williams Commission in its report entitled Public Government for Private People, the Report of the Commission on Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy/1980, Volume II at pages 298-99, states:

Speaking very broadly, intelligence information may be distinguished from investigatory information by virtue of the fact that the former is generally unrelated to the investigation of the occurrence of specific offenses. For example, authorities may engage in surveillance of the activities of persons whom they suspect may be involved in criminal activity in the expectation that the information gathered will be useful in future investigations. In this sense, intelligence information may be derived from investigations of previous incidents which may or may not have resulted in trial and conviction of the individual under surveillance. Such information may be gathered through observation of the conduct of associates of known criminals or through similar surveillance activities.

**In my view, for the purposes of section 8(1)(g) of the Act, “intelligence” information may be described as information gathered by a law enforcement agency in a covert manner with respect to ongoing efforts devoted to the detection and prosecution of crime or the prevention of possible violation of law, and is distinct from information which is compiled and identifiable as part of the investigation of a specific occurrence.**

[Emphasis added]

[86] As such, in order to qualify as intelligence information, the information should have been gathered by a law enforcement agency in a covert manner. Further, the intelligence information is distinct from information which is compiled and identifiable as part of the investigation of a specific occurrence.



- [87] In its submission, the RPS asserted that where it applied subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP, information was gathered covertly. The RPS provided general detail to support its argument that information was collected covertly. Based on a review of the pages in the Working Copy, I note there were contents of records that suggest that information was not collected covertly. For example, on pages 34 and 40, there are portions of those pages that were released to the Applicant that indicates RPS members were not acting in a covert manner when gathering information. As such, I find that the RPS has not met the burden of proof set out in section 51 in demonstrating that subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP applies to such records.
- [88] However, in other cases, when I review the pages, there was no evidence that the information was not gathered in a covert manner. In some cases, considering the context of the record, it would suggest that the information was gathered covertly. As such, I find that subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP applies to those portions of the records. I have outlined my findings and recommendations in Appendix A.
- [89] As mentioned earlier in my analysis of subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP, I may recommend that the head of a local authority reconsider the exercise of its discretion should I feel that one of the four factors set out by the Supreme Court played a part in the original decision to withhold information, or if discretion was not exercised at all. However, I will not substitute my discretion for that of the head.
- [90] In its submission, the RPS indicated it considered the Applicant's relationship "with the file" in its application of subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP. Earlier, I already found an applicant's identity is an irrelevant consideration in the application of exemptions in Part III of LA FOIP.
- [91] Where I have found that subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP applies, I recommend that the RPS reconsider the exercise of its discretion in its application of subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP to determine if it could release additional records (or portions of). In its exercise of discretion, it should take into consideration the factors listed at pages 11 and 12 of the

Guide to FOIP. It also should keep in mind that the transparency of RPS' actions and decisions, regardless of an applicant's identity or a relationship to a particular situation, facilitates the accountability of the RPS to the public.

**Records listed on the Withheld Spreadsheet**

[92] The RPS applied subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP to records 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 14, 16, 20, 22, 26, 31, 32, 33, 36 and 38 that are listed on the Withheld Spreadsheet.

[93] Based on a review of the records listed on the Withheld Spreadsheet, I find that subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP applies to records 1, 14, 16, 20, 22, 26 and 31 as the information appears to be law enforcement intelligence information, the disclosure of which would reveal the law enforcement intelligence information.

[94] However, I find that the RPS has not met the burden of proof set out in section 51 of LA FOIP in demonstrating that subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP applies to pages 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 32, 33, 36 and 38. This is because the information does not appear, on the face of the record, to contain law enforcement intelligence information. I have outlined my findings in Appendix B.

[95] Similar to my comments above regarding the exercise of discretion, where I have found that subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP applies, I recommend that RPS reconsider the exercise of its discretion in its application of subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP to determine if it could release additional records to the Applicant.

**Watch Command**

**a. Event logs**

[96] As described earlier, the majority of the Watch Command records are event logs of activities taking place in the City of Regina from December 2019 to March 2020. The RPS applied subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP to withhold the event logs.

[97] As found earlier, the majority of the event logs are not responsive. Later on in this Report, I will discuss the records that are not responsive. For the portions that are responsive, I find that the RPS has not met the burden of proof set out in section 51 of LA FOIP in demonstrating that subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP applies to the responsive portions. This is because the contents do not constitute law enforcement intelligence information. I have outlined my findings and recommendations in Appendix C.

**b. Watch Command records – additional records**

[98] There are an additional 106 pages of Watch Command records that consist of emails, service requests, and the RPS responses to service requests. Based on a review of these records, I find that subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP would apply to some of these records (but not all the records). It is apparent on the face of the records that the information was gathered by the RPS covertly in ongoing efforts devoted to the detection and prosecution of crime or the prevention of possible violation of law. I have set out my findings and recommendations in Appendix D.

**7. Did the RPS properly apply subsection 14(1)(a) of LA FOIP?**

[99] The RPS applied subsection 14(1)(a) of LA FOIP to some of the records in the Working Copy, as outlined in Appendix A. In most cases, the RPS applied other exemptions to records to which it also applied other exemptions, including subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP. Since I have recommended that RPS reconsider its exercise of discretion for applying subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP, I will consider subsection 14(1)(a) of LA FOIP. Subsection 14(1)(a) of LA FOIP provides as follows:

**14(1)** A head may refuse to give access to a record, the release of which could:

(a) prejudice, interfere with or adversely affect the detection, investigation, prevention or prosecution of an offence or the security of a centre of lawful detention;

[100] Page 44 of my office’s Guide to FOIP explains that this provision permits a local authority to refuse access to records where the release of a record *could* prejudice, interfere with or adversely affect the detection, investigation, prevention or prosecution of an offence or the security of a centre of lawful attention. The requirement for *could* is simply that the release of the information *could* have the specified result. There would still have to be a basis for asserting the harm could occur. If it is fanciful or exceedingly remote, the exemption should not be invoked. There must be objective grounds for believing that disclosing the information *could* result in the harm alleged.

[101] The pages to which RPS applied subsection 14(1)(a) of LA FOIP is outlined in Appendix A. In its submission, the RPS indicated these particular pages relate to investigations and asserted that the release of the information “could potentially interfere with” investigations.

[102] Page 44 of the Guide to FOIP draws from the Government of Alberta’s *FOIP Guidelines and Practices: 2009 Edition*, Chapter 4, page 152, that explains that the phrase “interfere with” includes hindering or hampering an ongoing investigation and anything that would detract from an investigator’s ability to pursue the investigation. I note that subsection 20(1)(f) of Alberta’s *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* (AB FOIP) speaks to “ongoing or unsolved” investigations. It says:

20(1) The head of a public body may refuse to disclose information to an applicant if the disclosure could reasonably be expected to

...

(f) interfere with or harm an ongoing or unsolved law enforcement investigation, including a police investigation

[103] Subsection 14(1)(a) of LA FOIP does not include the terms “ongoing” or “unsolved”. Nevertheless, since RPS is asserting that the release of the information “could potentially interfere with” investigations, it must be able to demonstrate there are objective grounds for believing that disclosing the information could result in the interference of an investigation (or investigations). In its submission, the RPS did not explain the basis for believing how the release of the records could interfere with the investigations. On the face of the records, the link between the release of the records and the interference in the investigations is not apparent. I find that RPS has not met the burden of proof set out in

section 51 in demonstrating that subsection 14(1)(a) of LA FOIP applies. The only exception to this finding is on pages 202 and 208 where it is clear that, on the face of the record, the release of some of the information on these pages could interfere with an investigation. As such, I find that subsection 14(1)(a) of LA FOIP applies to portions of pages 202 and 208. However, given the length of time that has passed since the time of the access request and the drafting of this Report, the investigation may have concluded. If this is the case, I recommend that the RPS reconsider the exercise of its discretion and consider releasing the information on pages 202 and 208.

**8. Did the RPS properly apply subsection 14(1)(e) of LA FOIP?**

[104] The RPS applied subsection 14(1)(e) of LA FOIP to portions of pages 226, 746, 895 and 898 of the Working Copy. I have already found that subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP applies to these same portions of these pages of the Working Copy. However, since I recommended earlier that RPS reconsider the exercise of its discretion in its application of subsection 14(1)(k), I will consider subsection 14(1)(e) of LA FOIP.

[105] Subsection 14(1)(e) of LA FOIP provides as follows:

**14(1)** A head may refuse to give access to a record, the release of which could:

...

(e) reveal investigative techniques or procedures currently in use or likely to be used;

[106] My office applies the following three-part test to determine if subsection 14(1)(e) of LA FOIP applies:

1. Does the information in question constitute “investigative techniques” or “procedures”?
2. Are the investigative techniques and/or procedures currently in use or likely to be used?
3. Could disclosure reveal investigative techniques or procedures?

(Guide to FOIP, pp. 58 to 59)

[107] Below is my analysis to determine if all three parts of the test are met.

***1. Does the information in question constitute “investigative techniques” or “procedures”?***

[108] Page 58 of the Guide to FOIP provides that *investigative techniques and procedures* means techniques and procedures used to conduct an investigation or inquiry for the purpose of law enforcement.

- The techniques or procedures must include specific steps. General information (such as forms and standard policies that do not include specific investigative steps and procedures) would not qualify.
- Routine, common or customary investigative techniques and procedures would not qualify.
- Generally known investigative techniques and procedures which the public is already aware of would not qualify.

[109] It does not include well-known investigative techniques, such as wire-tapping, fingerprinting and standard sources of information about individuals’ addresses, personal liabilities, real property, etc.

[110] In its submission, the RPS explained that it applied subsection 14(1)(e) of LA FOIP because this page contains a complaint code. When I review page 157 of the Working Copy, here is a numerical code that appears in the subject line of an email and in the body of the email. RPS’s submission said:

Our Complaint Codes [sic] are used for internal process relating to Regina Police Service business for the purpose of law enforcement. The public is not made aware of these codes; consideration was also given with respect to other agencies that may use a similar complaint code. Our complaint Code [sic] for RPS may not be the same Complaint [sic] for Weyburn Police or Estevan Police and sharing these codes could potentially identify investigation or business related to law enforcement.

[111] The RPS’ submission does not explain how the numerical code qualifies as “investigative techniques” or “procedures” as defined earlier.

[112] As such, I find that the RPS has not demonstrated that subsection 14(1)(e) of LA FOIP applies to the numerical code that appears on pages 226, 746, 895, and 898 of the Working Copy. Therefore, there is no need to consider the other two parts of the test. I recommend that the RPS release the portions of pages 226, 746, 895, and 898 of the Working Copy to which it applied subsection 14(1)(e) of LA FOIP.

**9. Did the RPS properly apply subsection 13(2) of LA FOIP?**

[113] The RPS applied subsection 13(2) of LA FOIP to portions of pages 626, 688, 743 to 744, 750 to 755, and 761 to 764 of the Working Copy. Pages 626 and 688 contains correspondence between the Royal Mounted Canadian Police (RCMP) and the RPS. The remainder of the pages contains correspondence between the RPS and the City of Regina.

[114] Subsection 13(2) of LA FOIP provides:

**13(2)** A head may refuse to give access to information contained in a record that was obtained in confidence, implicitly or explicitly, from another local authority or a similar body in another province or territory of Canada.

[115] My office applies the following two-part test to determine if subsection 13(2) of LA FOIP applies:

1. Was the information obtained from a local authority?
2. Was the information obtained implicitly or explicitly in confidence?

(Guide to FOIP, pp. 34 to 38)

[116] Below is an analysis to determine if the two-part test is met.

**1. Was the information obtained from a local authority?**

Pages 626 and 688 – Correspondence between RCMP and the RPS

[117] In order for this first part of the test to be met, the information must be from a local authority or a similar body in another province or territory of Canada. At paragraph [17] of my Review Report 064-2020, I found that the RCMP is a federal government institution pursuant to Schedule 1 of the federal *Access to Information Act* (ATIA). As such, the RCMP does not qualify as a “local authority” pursuant to subsection 2(f) of LA FOIP nor is it a similar body in another province or territory of Canada. I find that the RPS has not met the burden of proof pursuant to section 51 of LA FOIP in demonstrating that subsection 13(2) of LA FOIP applies to pages 626 and 688 of the Working Copy. My findings and recommendations are listed in Appendix A.

Pages 743 to 744, 750 to 755, and 761 to 764 of the Working Copy –  
Correspondence between the City of Regina and the RPS

[118] First, the City of Regina qualifies as a “local authority” as defined by subsection 2(f) of LA FOIP. Next, *information* means factors or knowledge provided or learned as a result of research or study. *Obtained* means to acquire in any way; to get possession of; to procedure; or to get a hold of by effort. To obtain information suggests that a local authority did not create it (Guide to FOIP, p. 35).

[119] Pages 743 and 744 of the Working Copy contains an email thread. The first email is an internal City of Regina email from the City Manager to City of Regina employees and councillors. Then, one of the recipients forwards the email to the RPS. I find that the first part of the test is met for 743 to 744.

[120] Pages 750 to 755 and 761 to 764 of the Working Copy appears to be information obtained by the RPS from the City of Regina. I find that the first part of the test is met for pages 750 to 755 and 761 to 764.

**2. Was the information obtained implicitly or explicitly in confidence?**

[121] Earlier in my analysis of subsection 18(1)(b) of LA FOIP, I indicated that “in confidence” describes a situation of mutual trust in which private matters are relayed or reported. Information obtained in confidence means that the provider of the information has



stipulated how the information can be disseminated. In order for confidence to be found, there must be an implicit or explicit agreement or understanding of confidentiality on the part of the RPS and the other local authority at the time the information was obtained. (Guide to FOIP, p. 35).

[122] Also in my analysis of subsection 18(1)(b) of LA FOIP, I indicated that whether the information is confidential will depend on its content, its purposes, and the circumstances in which it was compiled or communicated (Guide to FOIP, pp. 35 to 36; *Corporate Express Canada, Inc. v. The President and Vice-Chancellor of Memorial University of Newfoundland*, Gary Kachanoski, 2014 CanLII 55800 (NL SC)).

[123] In its submission, the RPS asserted that the City of Regina provided the information to the RPS implicitly in confidence to help the RPS conduct police business related to the labour dispute. However, based on a review of the contents of these pages, it appears as though the City of Regina was consulting with the RPS for the purpose of city-related business (as noted in page 761 of the Working Copy). Based on RPS' submission and a review of the record, I find that the RPS has not met the burden of proof as set out in section 51 of LA FOIP. I cannot determine if there was a mutual understanding between the RPS and the City of Regina regarding the confidentiality of the information.

[124] Further, in its decision to apply subsection 13(2) of LA FOIP to these pages, the RPS reiterated it considered the Applicant's identity in its application of subsection 13(2) of LA FOIP. In bullet points, the RPS explained:

- Consideration for sharing this information was also given to the relationship that the applicant had with the file. As he was not actively involved with the Labor Dispute, or mentioned in the file he is not in the need to know detailed information about Regina Police Services that is unrelated to him.
- We did want to share General Information relating to Unifor, work and conversations that took place with between RPS members and members of Unifor, when those conversations were clearly identified.

[125] As I have said earlier, the Applicant's identity is an irrelevant consideration in the application of exemptions set out in Part III of LA FOIP. In this case, the Applicant's identity should not have any bearing on any mutual understanding between the RPS and the City of Regina regarding the confidentiality of the information. The information was either obtained in confidence or not, regardless of the Applicant's identity.

[126] Based the above, I find that the City of Regina has not demonstrated that subsection 13(2) of LA FOIP applies to pages 750 to 755 and 761 to 764 of the Working Copy. My findings and recommendations are listed in Appendix A.

**10. Did the RPS properly apply subsection 15(1)(b)(i) of LA FOIP?**

[127] The RPS applied subsection 15(1)(b)(i) of LA FOIP to page 553 of the Working Copy. Page 553 provides the details of a teleconference meeting, including the date, time, the dial-in number, and the participant passcode.

[128] Subsection 15(1)(b)(i) of LA FOIP provides as follows:

**15(1)** A head may refuse to give access to a record that:

...

(b) discloses agendas or the substance of deliberations of meetings of a local authority if:

(i) an Act authorizes holding the meetings in the absence of the public;

[129] The RPS indicated that it was relying on subsection 15(1)(b)(i) of LA FOIP since this meeting was held in the absence of the members of the public. It did not identify an Act that authorizes the holding of the meeting in the absence of the public.

[130] In past reports, other local authorities would cite Acts such as subsection 120(2)(b) of *The Municipalities Act* that authorizes holding meetings in the absence of the public. In this case, the RPS did not identify which Act authorized the holding of this meeting in the absence of the public in its submission to my office. On the face of the record, I cannot determine if there is an Act that authorized the holding of the meeting in the absence of the

public. As such, I find that the RPS has not met the burden of proof in demonstrating that subsection 15(1)(b)(i) of LA FOIP applies to page 553 of the Working Copy.

**11. Did the RPS properly apply subsection 16(1)(b) of LA FOIP?**

[131] The RPS applied subsection 16(1)(b) of LA FOIP to pages 879, 881, 883 to 887, 889, 891 to 893 of the Working Copy, as outlined in Appendix A.

[132] Subsection 16(1)(b) of LA FOIP provides as follows:

**16(1)** Subject to subsection (2), a head may refuse to give access to a record that could reasonably be expected to disclose:

...  
(b) consultations or deliberations involving officers or employees of the local authority;

[133] My office applies the following two-part test to determine if subsection 16(1)(b) of LA FOIP applies:

1. Does the record contain consultations or deliberations?
2. Do the consultations or deliberations involve officers or employees of the local authority?

(Guide to FOIP, pp. 127 to 129)

[134] I will analyze each part of the test below.

***1. Does the record contain consultations or deliberations?***

[135] *Consultation* means the action of consulting or taking counsel together; a conference in which parties consult and deliberate. A consultation can occur when the views of one or more officers or employees of a local authority are sought as to the appropriateness of a particular proposal or suggested action. It can include consultations about prospective future actions and outcomes in response to a developing situation. It can also include past courses of action (Guide to FOIP, pp. 127 to 128).

[136] *Deliberation* means the action of deliberating (to weigh in mind; to consider carefully with a view to a decision; to think over); or, careful consideration with a view to a decision. It can also include the consideration and discussions of the reasons for and against a measure. A deliberation can occur when there is a discussion or consideration of the reasons for or against an action. It can refer to discussions conducted with a view towards making a decision (Guide to FOIP, p. 128).

[137] Based on a review of the pages, they contain a discussion. The discussion involves seeking the views of the participants in the discussion regarding the appropriateness of a particular suggested course of action. Further, it appears as though the discussion was conducted with a view towards making a decision on how to manage a particular situation. I find that the first part of the two-part test is met.

***2. Do the consultations or deliberations involve officers or employees of the local authority?***

[138] Officers or employees of a local authority means an individual employed by a local authority and includes an individual retained under a contract to perform services for the government institution.

[139] Based on a review of pages 879, 881, 883 to 887, 889, 891 to 893, the consultations and deliberations involve officers or employees of the RPS. I find that the second part of the test is met.

[140] I find that subsection 16(1)(b) of LA FOIP applies to pages 879, 881, 883 to 887, 889, 891 to 893 of the Working Copy.

[141] As with every discretionary exemption that I have found to apply so far, I have recommended that the RPS reconsider the exercise of its discretion. This is because it is evident that the RPS took into consideration the Applicant's identity in its exercise of discretion, which I have found to be an irrelevant consideration. While the RPS has not

indicated it has considered the Applicant's identity in its application of subsection 16(1)(b) of LA FOIP, I recommend that the RPS ensure it has taken into consideration the factors listed at pages 11 and 12 of the Guide to FOIP in its exercise of discretion to refuse the Applicant access to pages 879, 881, 883 to 887, 889, 891 to 893 of the Working Copy pursuant to subsection 16(1)(b) of LA FOIP. If it has taken into account the Applicant's identity in its exercise of discretion of subsection 16(1)(b) of LA FOIP, I recommend that the RPS reconsider the exercise of its discretion to determine if it can release additional records to the Applicant.

**12. Did the RPS properly apply subsection 16(1)(d) of LA FOIP?**

[142] The RPS applied subsection 16(1)(d) of LA FOIP to pages 879, 881, 883 to 887, 889, 891 to 893 of the Working Copy, as outlined in Appendix A.

[143] Subsection 16(1)(d) of LA FOIP provides as follows:

**16(1)** Subject to subsection (2), a head may refuse to give access to a record that could reasonably be expected to disclose:

...

(d) plans that relate to the management of personnel or the administration of the local authority and that have not yet been implemented;

[144] My office uses the following two-part test to determine if subsection 16(1)(d) of LA FOIP applies:

1. Does the record contain a plan(s)?
2. Does the plan(s) relate to:
  - i) The management of personnel?
  - ii) The administration of the local authority?

(Guide to FOIP, p. 137)

[145] I will analyze each part of the test to determine if subsection 16(1)(d) of LA FOIP applies:

***1. Does the record contain a plan(s)?***

[146] A plan is a formulated and especially detailed method by which a thing is to be done. It can be a design or scheme. It can be a detailed proposal for doing or achieving something. It can be an intention or decision about what one is going to do (Guide to FOIP, p. 137).

[147] Based on a review, I find that pages 881, 884, 885, 887, 889, 890, 892 and 893 contains a plan.

**2. Does the plan(s) relate to:**

**i. The management of personnel?**

**ii. The administration of the local authority?**

[148] The term *management of personnel* refers to all aspects of the management of human resources of a public body that relate to the duties and responsibilities of employees. This includes staffing requirements, job classification, recruitment and selection, employee salary and benefits, hours and conditions of work, leave management, performance review, training, separation and layoff. It also includes the management of personal service contracts (i.e. contracts of service) but not the management of consultant, professional or other independent contractor contracts (Guide to FOIP, p. 137).

[149] The term *administration of a public body* comprises all aspects of a public body's internal management, other than personnel management, that are necessary to support the delivery of programs and services. Administration includes business planning, financial operations, and contract, property, information, and risk management (Guide to FOIP, pp. 137 to 138).

[150] The phrase *relate to* should be given a plan but expansive meaning. The phrase should be read in its grammatical and ordinary sense (Guide to FOIP, p. 138).

[151] Based on a review of pages 881, 884, 885, 887, 889, 890, 892 and 893, the plan does not relate to the management of personnel or the administration of a public body. As such, the second part of the two-part test is not met.

[152] I find that the RPS has not met the burden of proof set out in section 51 of LA FOIP in demonstrating that subsection 16(1)(d) of LA FOIP applies to pages 879, 881, 883 to 887, 889, 891 to 893 of the Working Copy. My findings and recommendations are listed in Appendix A.

**13. Did the RPS properly apply subsection 14(1)(c) of LA FOIP?**

[153] The RPS refused the Applicant access to all 661 pages of records it is described as “investigative reports”. In its Index of Records, the RPS cited subsection 14(1)(c) of LA FOIP as its reason for withholding the records.

[154] Subsection 14(1)(c) of LA FOIP provides as follows:

**14(1)** A head may refuse to give access to a record, the release of which could:

...

(c) interfere with a lawful investigation or disclose information with respect to a lawful investigation;

[155] My office applies the following two-part test to determine if subsection 14(1)(c) of LA FOIP applies:

1. Does the local authority’s activity qualify as a “lawful investigation”?
2. Does one of the following exist?
  - a) Could the release of the information interfere with a lawful investigation?
  - b) Could the release of the information disclose information with respect to a lawful investigation?

(Guide to FOIP, pp. 52 to 53)

[156] I will analyze each part of the test below.

***1. Does the local authority’s activity qualify as a “lawful investigation”?***

[157] Page 52 of the Guide to FOIP provides that a “lawful investigation” is an investigation that is authorized or required and permitted by law. In order to meet this part of the two-part test, the local authority should identify the legislation under which the investigation is occurring.

[158] In correspondence with my office, the RPS indicated that lawful investigations were undertaken under the *Criminal Code of Canada* (the Code). Specifically, investigating section 127 (disobeying order of court) and/or subsection 430(1) (mischief) of the Code.

[159] Based on a review of the records, I find that the RPS’ activities qualify as a “lawful investigation”.

**2. Does one of the following exist?**

**a. Could the release of the information interfere with a lawful investigation?**

**b. Could the release of the information disclose information with respect to a lawful investigation?**

[160] To meet this part of the test, it is only necessary for the local authority to demonstrate that the information in the record is information with respect to a lawful investigation.

[161] In its submission, the RPS did not provide arguments for this particular part of the test. On the face of the records, though, I find that the information in the 661 pages would disclose information with respect to a lawful investigation.

[162] Based on the above, both parts of the test are met. I find that subsection 14(1)(c) of LA FOIP applies to the 661 pages of the investigative reports.

[163] However, I should note that in my review of the 661 pages of records, many of the pages were duplicates of pages in the Working Copy. Below is a table where I list the pages of the investigative reports that are duplicates of the pages in the Working Copy. The RPS applied subsection 14(1)(c) of LA FOIP to withhold the pages in the investigative reports while it applied various other exemptions, including subsections 14(1)(k), 14(1)(i), and



28(1) of LA FOIP, to the same duplicate pages that appear in the Working Copy. The lack of consistency in the application of exemptions suggests to me that RPS did not uphold its obligation pursuant to section 8 of LA FOIP, which I will discuss later in this Report.

<b>Pages that from investigative reports that match pages from Working Copy</b>	
<b>Page # of Investigative Reports</b>	<b>Page # of Working Copy</b>
377 to 379	70 to 72
380	73
432 to 469	392 to 429
478	430
492 to 513	431 to 452
561 to 568	1 to 8
580 to 617	9 to 46
626	47
640 to 661	48 to 69

[164] First, I recommend that the RPS reconsider the exercise of its discretion in its application of subsection 14(1)(c) of LA FOIP to all of the pages in the investigative reports to determine if it can release additional records. In its reconsideration of its discretion, it should take into consideration the factors listed at pages 11 and 12 of the Guide to FOIP. It should not be taking into consideration the Applicant's identity or relationship to the labour dispute.

[165] Second, at minimum, I recommend that the RPS release the portions of the pages in the investigative reports listed in the above table as it did in the duplicate pages in the Working Copy.

#### **14. Did the RPS properly apply subsection 21(a) of LA FOIP?**

[166] The RPS applied subsection 21(a) of LA FOIP to page 73 of the Working Copy and to approximately 370 emails that involve the RPS lawyer.

[167] When applying subsection 21(a) of LA FOIP to a record, a local authority has three options when claiming solicitor-client privilege: 1) provide the documents to my office with a cover letter stating that it is not waiving the privilege; 2) provide the documents to my

office with the portions severed where solicitor-client privilege is claimed; or 3) provide my office with an affidavit and schedule of records. If I have a reasonable basis for questioning the content of an affidavit, I may exercise my formal powers and, only as necessary, request additional background information by affidavit or otherwise. This is set out in Part 9 of my office's *Rules of Procedure*. In this case, the RPS provided my office a copy of page 73 of the Working Copy. Then, it provided an affidavit and schedule of records that represent approximately 370 emails.

[168] First, I will analyze whether subsection 21(a) of LA FOIP applies to page 73 of the Working Copy. Then, I will analyze if subsection 21(a) of LA FOIP applies to the approximately 370 emails.

**a. Page 73**

[169] The RPS describes this page as a document that was prepared by a RPS lawyer for RPS staff.

[170] Subsection 21(a) of LA FOIP provides as follows:

**21** A head may refuse to give access to a record that:

(a) contains any information that is subject to any privilege that is available at law, including solicitor-client privilege;

[171] Based on its submission, it is not clear precisely which privilege the RPS is claiming for page 73. Its submission said:

Regina Police Services applied exemption 21(a) to this page as this document was prepared by Regina Police Services Lawyer, Katrina Swan. The information was provided to RPS staff to provide legal advice and guidance relating to a law enforcement matter that Regina Police Services was currently involved in with the ongoing labor dispute between Co-op and Unifor Local 594.

[172] Based on the above, it appears as though the RPS is claiming solicitor-client privilege. My office uses the following three part test to determine if solicitor-client privilege pursuant to subsection 21(a) of LA FOIP applies:

1. Is the record a communication between a solicitor and client?
2. Does the communication entail the seeking or giving of legal advice?
3. Did the parties intend for the communication to be treated confidentially?

(Guide to FOIP, pp. 247 to 254)

[173] For the first part of the test, I must determine if page 73 is a communication between a solicitor and a client. Based on the RPS' submission, it appears that the solicitor is the RPS lawyer and the client is the RPS staff. Based on a review of page 73, it appears to be a document like a memorandum. On the face of the record, I cannot determine whom the intended recipient is but based on the submission, it is a document authored by the RPS lawyer to RPS staff. I find that the first part of the test is met.

[174] For the second part of the test, I must determine if page 73 entails the seeking or giving of legal advice. *Legal advice* means a legal opinion about a legal issue, and a recommended course of action, based on legal considerations, regarding a matter with legal implications (Guide to FOIP, pp. 250). Based on a review of page 73, I find that the contents contain communication that entails the giving of legal advice. Since the contents of the document entails the giving of legal advice, the second part of the test is met.

[175] For the third part of the test, I must determine if there is an expectation on the part of the local authority that the communication will be confidential. The RPS did not provide arguments to support that there was an expectation the communication will be confidential. My office determined that the contents of page 73 has been communicated through news releases by the RPS dated [February 3, 2020](#) and [February 5, 2020](#). Therefore, I find that the third part of the test is not met.

[176] I find that subsection 21(a) of LA FOIP does not apply to page 73 of the Working Copy. I recommend that the RPS release page 73 of the Working Copy.

**b. 370 emails**

[177] The RPS provided my office with an affidavit and schedule of records that details approximately 370 emails to which it is applying 21(a) of LA FOIP. The schedule of records lists 32 records, which totals 123 pages. Over the 123 pages, the RPS indicated there are approximately 370 emails.

[178] In the schedule of records form that is available in the Forms section of my office’s *Rules of Procedure*, there is a column to be checked to indicate which privilege the local authority is claiming:

**SCHEDULE**

Record # or Page #	Severance #	# of pages	Type of Record (email, fax, letter, etc.)	Author	Recipient(s)	Date	Type of Privilege Claimed (Check one)	
							Solicitor Client	Litigation

[179] The RPS checked the “Litigation” column to indicate it was claiming litigation privilege for records 1 to 25, 27, 29 to 31. The RPS checked the “Solicitor-Client” column for record 26, 28 and 32.

**i. Litigation privilege**

[180] The RPS has claimed litigation privilege for records 1 to 25, 27, and 29 to 31 that are listed in the schedule of records. My office uses the following two-part test to determine if litigation privilege applies:

1. Has the record or information been prepared for the dominant purpose of litigation?
2. Is the litigation ongoing or anticipated?

[181] I will analyze each part of the two-part test below.

***1. Has the record or information been prepared for the dominant purpose of litigation?***

[182] As mentioned earlier, the RPS prepared an affidavit pursuant to Part 9 of my office's *Rules of Procedure*. In that affidavit, it says the following regarding the records to which litigation privilege is claimed:

5. In respect of those records, or portions of records, claimed to be protected by litigation privilege, I have knowledge of, or believe, that the records were created for the dominant purpose of litigation, existing or anticipated, including any closely related proceedings. Such existing or anticipated litigation has not concluded.

[183] In the course of the review, the RPS asserted that there were multiple meetings with both parties and RPS, and many discussions between RPS' lawyer and the lawyers representing both parties throughout the labour dispute. The RPS submitted that any communication that was occurring had the potential for litigation or with the contemplation of litigation.

[184] Before I make a finding regarding the first part of the test, I will consider the second part of the test.

***2. Is the litigation ongoing or anticipated?***

[185] Litigation must be ongoing, or there must be a reasonable expectation of litigation. Once the litigation has ended, the privilege to which it gave rise has lost its specific and concrete purpose – and therefore its justification (Guide to FOIP, p. 258).

[186] As mentioned above, the RPS asserted that there were multiple meetings with both parties and RPS, and many discussions between RPS' lawyer and the lawyers representing both parties throughout the labour dispute. The RPS submitted that any communication that was occurring as always occurring with the potential for litigation or with the contemplation of litigation.

[187] The RPS provided my office with a few examples of its involvement in the labour dispute, which would have given rise to a reasonable expectation of litigation. The RPS also asserted that throughout the entire conflict, the RPS was concerned about the potential for litigation being commenced by Unifor every time the RPS took enforcement action. The RPS provided my office with examples of public statements made by Unifor throughout the dispute to demonstrate the basis of its concern for potential litigation:

<https://www.unifor.org/en/whats-new/press-room/regina-police-arrest-four-locked-out-workers-side-fcl> (dated February 5, 2020)

<https://www.unifor.org/en/whats-new/press-room/unifor-outraged-regina-police-denial-lawful-picket-0> (dated February 7, 2020)

<https://www.unifor.org/en/whats-new/press-room/unifor-considers-escalating-complaint-against-regina-police> (dated May 20, 2020)

[188] I note the particular statement in the media release dated May 20, 2020, which indicates that Unifor is investigating the possibility of pursuing a civil suit against RPS.

[189] Based on the above, I find that the RPS has demonstrated that litigation privilege pursuant to subsection 21(a) of LA FOIP applies to records 1 to 25, 27, and 29 to 31. I recommend that the RPS continue to withhold record 1 to 25, 27, and 29 to 31 listed in the schedule of records.

## **ii. Solicitor-client privilege**

[190] The RPS has claimed solicitor client privilege for records 26, 28 and 32 that are listed in the schedule of records. Record 26 is seven pages between the RPS lawyer and an external organization. Record 28 is three pages between the RPS lawyer and the Deputy Chief of Police. Record 32 is between the RPS lawyer and the RPS Executive.

[191] Earlier, I had already set out the three-part test my office uses to determine if solicitor-client privilege applies. For ease of reference, I am reproducing it here:

1. Is the record a communication between a solicitor and client?

2. Does the communication entail the seeking or giving of legal advice?
3. Did the parties intend for the communication to be treated confidentially?

(Guide to FOIP, pp. 247 to 254)

[192] For the first part of the test, I find that records 28 and 32 are communications between a solicitor and a client. However, I find that the first part of the test is not met for record 26.

[193] For the second and third parts of the test, I need to consider the contents of the affidavit. The affidavit asserted that the communication entails the seeking or obtaining of legal advice that the communication intended to be kept confidential and have been consistently treated as confidential.

[194] As all three parts of the test are met, I find that the RPS has made a *prima facie* case that solicitor-client privilege applies to records 28 and 32 listed in the schedule of records. I find that subsection 21(a) of LA FOIP does not apply to record 26. I recommend that the RPS continue to withhold records 28 and 32 listed in the schedule of records. I recommend that RPS release record 26.

**15. Did the RPS properly apply subsection 21(c) of LA FOIP?**

[195] In its submission, the RPS indicated it was relying on subsection 21(c) of LA FOIP to withhold approximately 370 emails listed in the schedule of records.

[196] Subsection 21(c) of LA FOIP provides as follows:

**21** A head may refuse to give access to a record that:

...

(c) contains correspondence between legal counsel for the local authority and any other person in relation to a matter involving the provision of advice or other services by legal counsel.

[197] My office uses the following two part test to determine if subsection 21(c) of LA FOIP applies:

1. Is the record a correspondence between the local authority's legal counsel and any other person?
2. Does the correspondence relate to a matter that involve the provision of advice or other services by legal counsel?

(Guide to FOIP, pp. 263 to 264)

[198] As mentioned earlier, the RPS had applied subsection 21(a) of LA FOIP to approximately 370 emails. As such, it did not provide my office with a copy of the records but it provided my office with an affidavit and schedule of records. It should be noted that the procedure set out in Part 9 of my office's *Rules of Procedure* is only for records to which a local authority has claimed solicitor-client privilege or litigation privilege pursuant to subsection 21(a) of LA FOIP. As I have said in my blog, "Providing the record to my office" (dated November 26, 2020), it is impossible for my office to conduct a review without a copy of the record at issue. Since I do not have a copy of the approximately 370 emails, I am unable to assess whether or not subsection 21(c) of LA FOIP applies to these emails. As such, I find that the RPS has not met the burden of proof set out in section 51 of LA FOIP. I recommend that the RPS not rely on subsection 21(c) of LA FOIP to withhold the 370 emails.

**16. Is there information that is not responsive to the Applicant's access requests?**

[199] The RPS identified the following as not responsive to the Applicant's access requests:

- 13 pages of an investigative report into a motor vehicle accident + 1 video;
- 142 pages of records that were dated after March 5, 2020; and
- Pages 74, 130 to 136, 138 to 140, 142 to 143, 149 to 150, 191 and 241 of the Working Copy.

[200] Also, in my analysis of subsections 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP, I mentioned I found the majority of the event logs in the Watch Command records were not responsive.



[201] Finally, there are records that were initially compiled by RPS and created into a file called “Original Copy”, which was 1012 pages. 89 pages were extracted from the “Original Copy” and then the “Working Copy” was created (923 pages).

[202] I will analyze each group of Reports listed above to determine if information is not responsive. Before I do so, I will need to establish what “not responsive” means. When a local authority receives an access to information request, it must determine what information is responsive to the access to information request. Page 11 of Chapter 3 of my office’s Guide to FOIP provides that “responsive” means relevant. The term describes anything that is reasonably related to the request. It follows that any information or records that do not reasonably relate to an applicant’s request will be considered “not responsive”.

[203] Page 12 of Chapter 3 of my office’s Guide to FOIP provides the following to consider when determining if information is responsive:

- The request itself sets out the boundaries of relevancy and circumscribes the records or information that will ultimately be identified as being responsive.
- A local authority can remove information as not responsive only if the applicant has requested specific information, such as the applicant’s own personal information.
- The local authority may treat portions of a record as not responsive if they are clearly separate and distinct and entirely unrelated to the access request. However, use it sparingly and only where necessary.
- If it is just as easy to release the information as it is to claim not responsive, the information should be released (i.e. releasing the information will not involve time consuming consultations nor considerable time weighing discretionary exemptions).
- The purpose of FOIP is best served when a local authority adopts a liberal interpretation of a request. If it is unclear what the applicant wants, a local authority should contact the applicant for clarification. Generally, ambiguity in the request should be resolved in the applicant’s favour.

[204] Below, I will consider the sets of records to determine if they are not responsive.

**a. 13 pages of an investigative report into a motor vehicle accident + 1 video**

[205] In its submission, the RPS asserted that the records deal with a motor vehicle accident and does not relate to the strike. Based on a review of the records, the motor vehicle accident involves a picketer and a truck driver at the CCRL refinery. The contents of the records appear to be focused on the motor vehicle accident. The Applicant's access request includes requesting reports and occurrences related to the labour dispute. Since the motor vehicle accident appears to have been a result of the labour dispute, I find that these records are responsive to the access request. I note that the records contain personal information as defined by subsection 23(1) of LA FOIP. I recommend that the RPS release the 13-page investigation report and video, subject to applicable exemptions.

**b. 142 pages of records that were dated after March 5, 2020**

[206] As set out in the background of this Report, the Applicant's access request was received by the RPS on March 5, 2020. As such, the only records that can be responsive to the Applicant's access request would have to be either created on or before March 5, 2020. The RPS indicated it identified 142 pages of records that were dated after March 5, 2020. It provided me with a 142-page portable document format (PDF) file to my office as part of this review. Based on a review of this 142-page PDF file, I note that pages 52 to 56 of this PDF file contains an email thread that begins on February 25, 2020 and ends March 5, 2020. I find that pages 52 and 56 of the 142-page PDF file are responsive. Otherwise, I find the remainder of the PDF file are documents dated after March 5, 2020 are outside the scope of the access request.

[207] I recommend that the RPS release pages 52 to 56 of the 142-page PDF file to the Applicant, subject to applicable exemptions.

**c. Pages 74, 130 to 136, 138 to 140, 142 to 143, 149 to 150, 191 and 241 of the Working Copy**

- [208] The RPS identified pages 74, 130 to 136, 138 to 140, 142 to 143, 149 to 150, 191 and 241 of the Working Copy.
- [209] I find that page 74 is responsive. It is about the motor vehicle accident that I discussed a few paragraphs earlier. I note that the personal email address of an individual appears in the email. I recommend that the personal email address be redacted pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP and the remainder of page 74 be released to the Applicant.
- [210] I find that pages 130 to 136, 138 to 140, and 142 to 143 are not responsive to the access request as the subject matter of these pages are clearly separate and distinct and entirely unrelated to the access request. However, I encourage local authorities to release non-responsive records (subject to exemptions) in my blog, [What about the Non-Responsive Record?](#) (dated July 14, 2017). Similarly, I recommend that the RPS release pages 130 to 136, 138 to 140, and 142 to 143 to the Applicant, subject to any applicable exemptions.
- [211] I find that pages 149 to 150 are responsive as it relates to information between the RPS and an individual working for the third party as relates to the labour dispute. I recommend that the RPS release pages 149 to 150 of the Working Copy to the Applicant.
- [212] I find that page 191 is not responsive. On the face of the record, it is not evident that the record is related to the Applicant's access request. Earlier, I recommended that RPS release records that are not responsive. Similarly, I recommend that the RPS release page 191 to the Applicant, subject to any applicable exemptions.
- [213] I find that page 241 is responsive as it relates to another motor vehicle accident that involves a party to the labour dispute. I find that this particular portion of the record to not be clearly separate and distinct and entirely unrelated to the access request. I recommend that the RPS release page 241, subject to applicable exemptions.

**d. Event logs from Watch Command records**

[214] Earlier in this Report, I mentioned that I found that the majority of the event logs are clearly separate and distinct and entirely unrelated to the three access requests by the Applicant. For example, the event logs include many different types of incidents unrelated to the labour dispute such as sudden deaths, missing persons, and domestic assaults. My findings are set out in Appendix B. I note that these records should never have been considered in the processing of the access request. However, since the Index of Records that was provided to the Applicant accounted for these pages, my office has reviewed them and confirm that the majority of the event logs are not responsive.

**e. 89 pages extracted from the “Original Copy”**

[215] RPS initially compiled records and created a file called “Original Copy”, which was 1,012 pages. The following pages were extracted from the “Original Copy” and then the “Working Copy” was created (923 pages): 47 to 54, 56 to 68, 451 to 458, 460 to 472, 730 to 735, 738 to 739, 806 to 813, 861 to 886, and 940 to 944.

[216] Based on a review, I find that pages 47 to 54, 57 to 68, 451 to 458, 461 to 472, 730 to 731, 734 to 735, and 738 to 739 of the Original Copy are all outside the scope of the access request since they are dated after March 5, 2020.

[217] I find that pages 56 and 460 of the Original Copy are responsive. I note that pages 56 and 460 of the “Original Copy” appear to be a duplicate of page 320, and 371 of the investigative reports. I recommend that the pages 56 and 460 of the Original Copy be released to the Applicant, subject to applicable exemptions.

[218] I find that pages 732 and 733 of the Original Copy are not responsive as it appears **not** to be information between RPS and the “Federated Co-operatives Limited as well as their subsidiary Co-op Refinery Complex, in regards to the labour dispute” (as quoted from the Applicant’s access request). It appears to be an email thread that begins with the union’s legal counsel posing a question to the RPS. However, as I have recommended earlier, even when records are not responsive, I encourage local authorities to release the records

(subject to exemptions). Therefore, I recommend that the RPS release pages 732 and 733 of the Original Copy to the Applicant, subject to applicable exemptions.

[219] I find page 806 of the Original Copy to be responsive. It is also a duplicate of record 20 that is listed on the Withheld Spreadsheet. I have already found that subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP applies to this record. However, I recommend that the RPS reconsider the exercise of its discretion in its application of subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP to determine if it can release this page to the Applicant.

[220] I find that pages 807 to 813 of the Original Copy are responsive. These pages are duplicates of pages 2 to 8 of record 40 that is listed on the Withheld Spreadsheet. I have already found that neither subsections 14(1)(c) nor 28(1) of LA FOIP applies to these pages. I recommend the RPS release pages 870 to 813 of the Original Copy to the Applicant.

[221] I find that pages 861 to 870 of the Original Copy are responsive. They are spreadsheets that contains information regarding parking tickets that were issued. I recommend that the RPS release pages 861 to 870 of the Original Copy, subject to applicable exemptions.

[222] I find that pages 871 to 886 of the Original Copy are responsive. They are duplicates of the spreadsheets set out at record 39 that is listed on the Withheld Spreadsheet. I have already found that subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP applies to the names and/or phone numbers of the private individuals that appear in the “Caller” column and in some of the “Details” column. I found that subsection 14(1)(k) of LA FOIP does not apply to these spreadsheets. I recommend that the RPS redact the personal information such as names and/or phone numbers of private individuals in the “Caller” and “Details” column pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP and then release the remainder.

[223] I find that pages 940 to 944 of the Original Copy are responsive. They are an email thread that begins on February 25, 2020 and ends on March 5, 2020. I recommend that the RPS release pages 940 to 944 of the Original Copy to the Applicant, subject to applicable exemptions.

**17. Did the RPS meet its obligation under section 8 of LA FOIP?**

[224] Section 8 of LA FOIP provides:

**8** Where a record contains information to which an applicant is refused access, the head shall give access to as much of the record as can reasonably be severed without disclosing the information to which the applicant is refused access.

[225] When a local authority receives an access to information request, it must complete a line-by-line review of the responsive records to comply with section 8 of LA FOIP. Through this review, the local authority is required to determine where a mandatory or discretionary exemption applies and sever those specific portions of the records. Then, it is to release the remainder of the records to the Applicant.

[226] I note that the RPS made efforts to sever portions, however heavily, to the Working Copy and provided the remainder to the Applicant. That would be in keeping with section 8 of LA FOIP.

[227] However, there is no evidence that there was an attempt to sever portions of the 661 pages of the investigative reports, Watch Command records, or the records listed on the Withheld Spreadsheet. It appears that the exemptions were applied in a blanket fashion. Further, as I had noted earlier in my analysis of subsection 14(1)(c) of LA FOIP, some of the records in the investigative reports were duplicates of records in the Working Copy. However, in spite of being duplicates, RPS had applied different exemptions in the Working Copy than it did to the duplicate records in the investigative reports. I find that the lack of consistency in the application of exemptions and the blanket application of exemptions to not be in keeping with the obligations of section 8 of LA FOIP.

[228] Since I have already recommended that the RPS reconsider the exercise of its discretion in its application of discretionary exemptions, I recommend that the RPS ensure it is meeting its obligation under section 8 of LA FOIP when determining if it can release additional records. That is, by releasing as much of a record as it can to the Applicant and only severing the portions of the records to which it is refusing the Applicant access.

#### IV FINDINGS

[229] I have jurisdiction to review this matter.

[230] I have outlined my findings in regards to the exemptions applied to the Working Copy, records listed in the Withheld Spreadsheet, the event logs in the Watch Command records, and the additional records included with the Watch Command records in Appendices A, B, C, and D.

[231] I find that subsection 14(1)(c) of LA FOIP applies to the 661 pages of investigative reports.

[232] I find that subsection 18(1)(c) of LA FOIP does not apply to the records.

[233] I find that subsection 21(a) of LA FOIP does not apply to page 73 of the Working Copy.

[234] I find that the RPS has demonstrated that litigation privilege pursuant to subsection 21(a) of LA FOIP applies to records 1 to 25, 27, and 29 to 31 listed in the schedule of records.

[235] I find that the RPS has made a *prima facie* case that solicitor-client privilege pursuant to subsection 21(a) of LA FOIP applies to records 28 and 32 listed in the schedule of records.

[236] I find that subsection 21(a) of LA FOIP does not apply to record 26 listed in the schedule of records.

[237] I find that the RPS has not demonstrated that subsection 21(c) of LA FOIP applies to the approximately 370 emails involving its lawyer.

[238] I find that the 13 pages of an investigative report into a motor vehicle accident plus the one video are responsive.

- [239] I find that pages 52 to 56 of the 142-page PDF file are responsive. I find the remainder of the pages to be outside the scope of the access request.
- [240] I find that page 74 of the Working Copy is responsive.
- [241] I find that pages 130 to 136, 138 to 140, and 142 to 143 of the Working Copy are not responsive to the access request as the subject matter of these pages are clearly separate and distinct and entirely unrelated to the access request.
- [242] I find that pages 149 to 150 of the Working Copy are responsive as it relates to information between the RPS and an individual working for the third party as relates to the labour dispute.
- [243] I find that page 191 of the Working Copy is not responsive.
- [244] I find that page 241 of the Working Copy is responsive as it relates to another motor vehicle accident that involves a party to the labour dispute.
- [245] I find that pages 47 to 54, 57 to 68, 451 to 458, 461 to 472, 730 to 731, 734 to 735, and 738 to 739 of the Original Copy are all outside the scope of the access request since they are dated after March 5, 2020.
- [246] I find that pages 56 and 460 of the Original Copy are responsive. I note that pages 56 and 460 of the "Original Copy" appear to be a duplicate of pages 320 and 371 of the investigative reports.
- [247] I find that pages 732 and 733 of the Original Copy are not responsive.
- [248] I find that page 806 of the Original Copy to be responsive. It is also a duplicate of record 20 that is listed on the Withheld Spreadsheet. I have already found that subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP applies to this record.



[249] I find that pages 807 to 813 of the Original Copy are responsive. These pages are duplicates of pages 2 to 8 of record 40 that is listed on the Withheld Spreadsheet. I have already found that neither subsections 14(1)(c) nor 28(1) of LA FOIP applies to these pages.

[250] I find that pages 861 to 870 of the Original Copy are responsive.

[251] I find that pages 871 to 886 of the Original Copy are responsive. They are duplicates of the spreadsheets set out at record 39 that is listed on the Withheld Spreadsheet.

[252] I find that pages 940 to 944 of the Original Copy are responsive.

[253] I find that the RPS's effort to sever portions of the Working Copy, however heavily, is in keeping with section 8 of LA FOIP.

[254] I find that the RPS' blanket application of exemptions to investigative reports, Watch Command records, and the records listed on the Withheld Spreadsheet to not be in keeping with section 8 of LA FOIP.

[255] I find the lack of consistency in the application of exemptions to the investigative reports and the duplicate records in the Working Copy to not be in keeping with section 8 of LA FOIP.

## **V RECOMMENDATIONS**

[256] I recommend that the RPS comply with the recommendations set out in Appendices A, B, C, and D.

[257] Where I have found that a discretionary exemption applies to a record, I recommend that the RPS reconsider the exercise of its discretion to determine if it can release additional records to the Applicant. In its reconsideration of its discretion, it should take into consideration the factors listed at pages 11 and 12 of the Guide to FOIP. It should not be taking into consideration the Applicant's identity or relationship to the labour dispute.

- [258] I recommend that the RPS reconsider the exercise of discretion in its application of subsection 14(1)(c) of LA FOIP to all of the pages in the investigative reports and determine if it can release additional records.
- [259] I recommend that the RPS release the portions of the pages in the investigative reports listed at paragraph [163] as it did in the duplicate pages in the Working Copy.
- [260] I recommend that the RPS continue to withhold records 1 to 25, 27, and 29 to 31 that are listed in the schedule of records.
- [261] I recommend that the RPS continue to withhold records 28 and 32 that are listed on the schedule of records.
- [262] I recommend that the RPS release record 26 that is listed on the schedule of records.
- [263] I recommend that the RPS release the 13-page investigation report into the motor vehicle accident and video, subject to applicable exemptions.
- [264] I recommend that the RPS release pages 52 to 56 of the 142-page PDF file to the Applicant, subject to applicable exemptions.
- [265] I recommend that the personal email address on page 74 of the Working Copy be redacted pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP and the remainder of page 74 be released to the Applicant.
- [266] I recommend that the RPS release pages 130 to 136, 138 to 140, and 142 to 143 to the Applicant, subject to any applicable exemptions.
- [267] I recommend that the RPS release pages 149 to 150 of the Working Copy to the Applicant.

- [268] I recommend that the RPS release page 191 of the Working Copy to the Applicant, subject to any applicable exemptions.
- [269] I recommend that the RPS release page 241, subject to applicable exemptions.
- [270] I recommend that pages 56 and 460 of the Original Copy be released to the Applicant, subject to applicable exemptions.
- [271] I recommend that the RPS release pages 732 and 733 of the Original Copy to the Applicant, subject to applicable exemptions.
- [272] I recommend that the RPS reconsider the exercise of its discretion in its application of subsection 14(1)(i) of LA FOIP to determine if it can release page 806 of the Original Copy and record 20 that is listed on the Withheld Spreadsheet to the Applicant.
- [273] I recommend the RPS release pages 807 to 813 of the Original Copy to the Applicant.
- [274] I recommend that the RPS release these pages 861 to 870 of the Original Copy, subject to applicable exemptions.
- [275] I recommend that the RPS redact the personal information such as names and/or phone numbers of private individuals in the “Caller” and “Details” column pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP and then release the remainder.
- [276] I recommend that the RPS release pages 940 to 944 of the Original Copy to the Applicant, subject to applicable exemptions.
- [277] If the RPS is reconsidering its discretion in the application of discretionary exemptions to determine if it can release additional records to the Applicant, I recommend that the RPS ensure it is meeting its obligation under section 8 of LA FOIP.

[278] I recommend that the RPS ensure that it is conducting line-by-line reviews of records and it is releasing as many records to applicants while severing only the portions to which it is refusing applicants access.

Dated at Regina, in the Province of Saskatchewan, this June 22nd, 2021.

Ronald J. Kruzeniski, Q.C.  
Saskatchewan Information and Privacy  
Commissioner

## Appendix A – Working Copy

Page #	Exemption(s) applied by RPS	IPC finding	IPC Recommendation
1 to 8	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release
9	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email dated February 20, 2020, timestamped 12:43pm;  28(1) does not apply;  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
10	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) does not apply  28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
11	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
12			Take no further action as this page has been released
13	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) does not apply  28(1) does not apply  14(1)(i) does not apply  18(1) does not apply	Release
14	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email dated February 18, 2020 timestamped 9:09am;  28(1) does not apply;  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release subject lines  Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
15	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
16	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email;  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
17	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies; 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
18	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release

19	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the subject line;  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
20	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies; 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
21	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
22	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
23	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies; 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
24 to 25	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
26	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies; 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
27	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies  28(1) does not apply;  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
28 to 29	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
30	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies  28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)  Release information withheld under 28(1)
31			Take no further action as this page has been released
32	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies  28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
33	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
34	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies;  14(1)(i) does not apply - not covertly collected  28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)  Release information that was withheld under 28(1)
35	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies;	Release information that was withheld under 28(1)

		28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
36	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(i) does not apply 14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information that was withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
37	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies;  28(1) does not apply	Release information that was withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
38	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
39	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
40	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release information that was withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
41	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release information that was withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
42 to 43			Take no further action as this page has been released
44	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies  28(1) does not apply	Release information that was withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
45	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(i)
46	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
47	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
48	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to redacted portions of email bodies	Release information that was withheld under 28(1)  Release subject lines

		14(1)(k) does not apply to subject line 28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
49	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to redacted portions of email bodies; 14(1)(k) does not apply to subject line 18(1)(b) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release information that was withheld under 28(1) Release subject line Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
50	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
51			Take no further action as this page has been released
52 to 53	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
54	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
55	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
56	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the emails; 14(1)(k) does not apply to the subject lines; 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Release subject lines Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
57	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies; 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
58	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
59	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
60	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies	Release information withheld under 28(1)



		14(1)(i) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
61	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
62	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
63	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
64	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies; 14(1)(i) does not apply; 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
65	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
66	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies; 14(1)(i) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
67	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies; 14(1)(i) applies; 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(i)
68	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(a)	14(1)(k) applies; 28(1) applies to the last paragraph of the second email on the page; 14(1)(a) does not apply	Continue to withhold the last paragraph of the second email pursuant to 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
69	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(a)	14(1)(k) applies; 28(1) applies to the last paragraph of the second email on the page; 14(1)(a) does not apply	Continue to withhold the last paragraph of the second email pursuant to 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
70	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) does not apply	Release

71 to 72			Take no further action as this page has been released
73	14(1)(k), 21(c), 21(a)	14(1)(k) does not apply  21(a) does not apply as explained in report  21(c) does not apply	Release
74	28(1); non-responsive	This page is responsive  28(1) applies to email address in email header of email time stamped 10:57am.	Redact individual's personal email address then release remainder of page
75	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
76	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
77	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k)	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
78	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) doesn't apply to subject line  14(1)(k) applies to body of the email	Release subject lines  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
79	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
80	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
81	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
82	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
83			Take no further action as this page has been released

84 to 85	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
86 to 88			Take no further action as these pages have been released
89	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email  28(1) applies to information about individual in first and second points in email (not to the third and fourth points)	Continue to withhold the information about the individual in the first and second bullets in the email pursuant 28(1).  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
90	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(i)
91	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
92	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
93 to 95	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
96	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
97	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
98 to 99	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
100	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
101 to 102	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release

103	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
104 to 105			Take no further action as these pages have been released
106	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(i) does not apply  14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
107 to 117			Take no further action as these pages have been released
118	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the emails  14(1)(k) doesn't apply to the subject line;  28(1) does not apply - business contact information	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Release subject lines  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
119	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the emails  14(1)(k) doesn't apply to the subject line  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Release subject lines  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
120			Take no further action as this page has been released
121	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to body of the email;  28(1) applies to the personal email address	Continue to withhold personal email address pursuant to 28(1);  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
122	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the emails  14(1)(k) doesn't apply to the subject line  28(1) does not apply - business contact information	Release the subject lines;  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)

123	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the emails  14(1)(k) doesn't apply to the subject line  28(1) does not apply	Release the subject lines;  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
124			Take no further action as this page has been released
125	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the emails  14(1)(k) doesn't apply to the subject line  28(1) does not apply	Release the subject lines;  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
126			Take no further action as this page has been released
127	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
128	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
129	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
130 to 136	Non-responsive	Non-responsive	Release
137			Take no further action as this page has been released
138 to 140	Non-responsive	Non-responsive	Release
141			Take no further action as this page has been released
142 to 143	Non-responsive	Non-responsive	Release
144	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to body of email;  14(1)(k) does not apply to subject line;  28(1) does not apply	Release the "To" field;  Release the subject line;  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
145 to 147	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
148			Take no further action as this page has been released
149	28(1), non-responsive	This page is responsive 28(1) does not apply	Release
150	Non-responsive	This page is responsive	Release

151			Take no further action as this page has been released
152 to 156	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release
157	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to body of email 14(1)(k) does not apply to subject line 28(1) does not apply 18(1)(b) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Release subject line Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
158	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to body of email 14(1)(k) does not apply to subject line 28(1) does not apply 18(1)(b) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Release subject line Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
159	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
160	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to body of the email timestamped 7:46am 14(1)(k) does not apply to body of the other two emails where meeting details are being sorted out 28(1) does not apply	Release the "To" and "From" fields in the email headers Release the bodies of the emails for the first two emails Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) for the body of the email timestamped 7:46am
161			Take no further action as this page has been released
162	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release
163	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to bodies of emails timestamped 7:02am and 5:50am	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)

		18(1)(b) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release information that was withheld under 28(1)
164	18(1)(b)	18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
165	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to bodies of emails 28(1) does not apply 18(1)(b) does not apply	Release the "To" and "From" fields. Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k).
166	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email 28(1) does not apply - information is not personal in nature 18(1)(b) does not apply	Release the "From" field Release the subject line Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
167	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to body of email 28(1) applies to the subject line 28(1) does not apply to COOP email address 18(1)(b) does not apply	Release the email headers except for the subject line. Withhold the subject line pursuant to 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
168	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to body of email 14(1)(k) does not apply to the subject line. 28(1) does not apply to COOP email address 18(1)(b) does not apply	Release the "From" field Release the subject line Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
169	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) doesn't apply to subject line or attachment description 14(1)(k) applies to the body of emails; not the email signatures	Release the email headers, including the "From" field, subject lines, attachment description and email signatures. Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)

		28(1) does not apply 18(1)(b) does not apply	
170	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
171	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) doesn't apply to subject line or attachment description  14(1)(k) applies to the body of emails; not the email signatures;  28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release email headers and email signatures  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
172			Take no further action as this page has been released
173	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) does not apply  28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
174	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the subject line and body of the emails but not email signatures  28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release email signatures;  Release "To" field  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
175	28(1), 18(1)(b)	28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply to email signature	Release
176	28(1), 18(1)(b)	28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
177	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the bodies of the emails  28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release the email headers including the "To" field
178	18(1)(b)	18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
179	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email	Release "From" field and email signature



		28(1) does not apply 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
180	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release
181	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
182	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email 28(1) does not apply 18(1)(b) does not apply	Release the "From" field and email signature.  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
183	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email 28(1) does not apply 18(1)(b) does not apply	Release the "From" field and email signature  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
184	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply 18(1)(b) does not apply	Release "From" field  Release email header and email signature of email at the bottom of the page  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
185	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(a)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) applies to the last paragraph of the second email on the page 14(1)(a) does not apply	Continue to withhold the last paragraph of the second email on the page pursuant to 28(1).  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k).
186			Take no further action as this page has been released
187	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email date February 6, 2020, timestamped 9:15pm  14(1)(k) does not apply to the subject lines	Release the subject lines;  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)

188 to 189	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies to the bodies of the emails  14(1)(k) does not apply to the subject lines	Release the subject lines  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
190			Take no further action as this page has been released
191	28(1), non-responsive	28(1) applies to the "From" field; non-responsive	Continue to withhold the "From" field pursuant to 28(1).  Release the remainder of the page.
192	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email;  28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
193	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email;  28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
194			Take no further action as this page has been released
195	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies  28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
196			Take no further action as this page has been released
197	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(a)	14(1)(k) applies;  28(1) applies to names of individuals who were arrested;  14(1)(a) does not apply	Continue to withhold names of individuals who were arrested pursuant to 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
198			Take no further action as this page has been released
199	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(i)
200	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(i) and 14(1)(k)

		14(1)(i) applies to the observations in the first half of the page.	
201	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
202	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(a)	14(1)(k) applies  28(1) applies to name of individual that appears in the first sentence of email time stamped 4:18am; name of individual that appears in the third paragraph of email timestamped 5:14pm  14(1)(a) applies to the third last sentence in email timestamped 5:14pm.	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(a)  Withhold name of individual whose name appears in the first sentence of email time stamped 4:18am; name of individual that appears in the third paragraph of email timestamped 5:14pm pursuant to 28(1)
203	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(i) applies  14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(i) and 14(1)(k)
204	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  28(1) does not apply  14(1)(i) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
205	14(1)(k)		Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
206	14(1)(a), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) applies to the body of the record  14(1)(a) does not apply	Release the email header  Continue to withhold the body of the email pursuant to 18(1)(b)
207	18(1)(b)	18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
208	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(a)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email;  28(1) of LA FOIP applies to the individual's name that appears in the "side note" in the body of the email  14(1)(a) of LA FOIP applies to the "side note" that	Continue to withhold the individual's name in the side note pursuant to 28(1).  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(a) and 14(1)(k).

		appears in the body of the email	
209			Take no further action as this page has been released
210	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
211	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
212	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to second paragraph;  14(1)(k) does not apply to attachment description;  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
213	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) doesn't apply to the attachment description;  14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email;  28(1) applies to the date of birth that appears at the second paragraph	Continue to withhold date of birth that appears in the second paragraph pursuant to 28(1)  Release remainder of information withheld under 28(1)  Release the attachment description  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
214			Take no further action as this page has been released
215 to 216	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) doesn't apply to the subject line 14(1)(k) applies to body of the emails; 14(1)(i) applies to the body of the emails	Release the subject lines  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(i) and 14(1)(k)
217	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) doesn't apply to the subject line 14(1)(k) applies to body of the emails; 28(1) does not apply; 14(1)(i) applies to the body of the email at the top of the	Release the subject lines  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(i) and 14(1)(k)

		page but not to the body of the second email at the bottom of the page	
218			Take no further action as this page has been released
219	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) doesn't apply to the subject line 14(1)(k) applies to body of the emails 28(1) does not apply 14(1)(i) applies to the body of the emails	Release the subject lines  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(i) and 14(1)(k)
220	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies to body of the email  28(1) does not apply  14(1)(i) applies to the body of the email	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(i) and 14(1)(k)
221	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) doesn't apply to the subject line 14(1)(k) applies to body of the emails 28(1) does not apply; 14(1)(i) applies to the body of the emails	Release the subject lines  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(i) and 14(1)(k)
222			Take no further action as this page has been released
223 to 225	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) doesn't apply to the subject line  14(1)(k) applies to body of the emails;  28(1) does not apply;  14(1)(i) applies to the body of the emails	Release the subject lines  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(i) and 14(1)(k)
226	14(1)(k), 14(1)(e)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(e) does not apply	Release subject line  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
227	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
228	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release

229			Take no further action as this page has been released
230	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(i) and 14(1)(k)
231			Take no further action as this page has been released
232	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply 14(1)(i) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
233	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply 14(1)(i) applies to the fifth, sixth, and seventh paragraphs of email.	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)
234	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)
235	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply; 14(1)(i) applies to the second paragraph	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)
236	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
237	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply to subject line; 14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email; 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Release subject line Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
238	14(1)(k), 28(1)	28(1) does not apply 14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
239	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)

			Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
240	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
241	14(1)(k), 28(1), non-responsive	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply This page is responsive	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
242	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply 14(1)(i) applies to the last paragraph only	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
243	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply 14(1)(i) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
244	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply 14(1)(i) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
245 to 246	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)
247 to 248	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
249	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(i) does not apply 14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
250	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)
251	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)

		14(1)(i) applies the first three paragraphs of the email but not the remainder of the page.	
252	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
253	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(a)	14(1)(k) applies  28(1) applies to names of individuals who were arrested  14(1)(a) does not apply	Withhold the arrested individuals' names pursuant to 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
254			Take no further action as this page has been released
255	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) doesn't apply to the subject line  28(1) does not apply  14(1)(i) applies to the fourth paragraph only	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(i)
256 to 257	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
258	14(1)(i)	14(1)(i) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(i)
259	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email but not the subject line;  28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release the "From" field and the subject line  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
260	18(1)(b)	18(1)(b) does not apply to the email signature	Release
261	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	28(1) applies to the last two sentences of the email;  28(1) applies to the personal SaskTel email address that appears at the bottom of the page.	Continue to the withhold the last two sentences of the email pursuant to 28(1)  Continue to withhold the personal SaskTel email address pursuant to 28(1)



		14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email dated January 3, 2020 time stamped 7:36am  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release the subject line and the attachment description  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
262	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email  28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release email headers including the "To" and "From" fields.  Release body of email dated January 2, 2020 timestamped 8:04am  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
263			Take no further action as this page has been released
264	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email  28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release the "From" field  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
265 to 266			Take no further action as these pages have been released
267	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) does not apply to the subject line and does not apply to body of the emails.  28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
268	28(1), 18(1)(b)	28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
269			Take no further action as this page has been released
270	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) does not apply to the subject line and does not apply to body of the emails  28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
271	14(1)(i)	14(1)(i) does not apply	Release

272	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) does not apply to attachment description  14(1)(k) does not  28(1) does not apply - there is nothing personal in nature  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
273	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) does not apply  28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply  14(1)(i) does not apply	Release
274	18(1)(b)	18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
275	18(1)(b)	18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
276 to 278	14(1)(i)	14(1)(i) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(i)
279	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	28(1) does not apply  14(1)(k) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
280			Take no further action as this page has been released
281	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) does not apply  28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
282			Take no further action as this page has been released
283	18(1)(b)	18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
284	18(1)(b)	18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
285	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to body of email  14(1)(k) does not apply to subject line or attachment descriptions  28(1) does not apply;  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release the email header including the "From" field and the subject line and attachment descriptions  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)

286			Take no further action as this page has been released
287	18(1)(b)	18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
288			Take no further action as this page has been released
289	18(1)(b)	18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
290			Take no further action as this page has been released
291	18(1)(b)	18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
292			Take no further action as this page has been released
293	18(1)(b)	18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
294			Take no further action as this page has been released
295	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email  28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release the "From" field and the subject line  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
296 to 298	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies;  18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
299	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the photo, not the email signature  18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)  Release email signature
300			Take no further action as this page has been released
301	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies  18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
302			Take no further action as this page has been released
303	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies  18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
304			Take no further action as this page has been released
305	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies  18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
306			Take no further action as this page has been released

307	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
308			Take no further action as this page has been released
309	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
310			Take no further action as this page has been released
311	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
312			Take no further action as this page has been released
313	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
314			Take no further action as this page has been released
315	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release "From" field Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
316			Take no further action as this page has been released
317	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release "From" field Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
318			Take no further action as this page has been released
319	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
320			Take no further action as this page has been released
321	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
322			Take no further action as this page has been released
323	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)

		18(1)(b) does not apply	
324			Take no further action as this page has been released
325	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
326			Take no further action as this page has been released
327	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
328			Take no further action as this page has been released
329	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
330			Take no further action as this page has been released
331	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
332			Take no further action as this page has been released
333	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
334			Take no further action as this page has been released
335	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
336			Take no further action as this page has been released
337	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email 28(1) does not apply 18(1)(b) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Release subject line Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
338	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
339	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the photos	Release email signature

		14(1)(k) does not apply to the email signature  18(1)(b) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
340	18(1)(b)	18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
341	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	28(1) does not apply;  18(1)(b) does not apply  14(1)(k) applies	Release "From" field and email signature  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
342			Take no further action as this page has been released
343	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies  18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
344			Take no further action as this page has been released
345	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies  18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
346			Take no further action as this page has been released
347	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies  18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
348			Take no further action as this page has been released
349	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies  18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
350			Take no further action as this page has been released
351	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies  18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
352			Take no further action as this page has been released
353	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies  18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
354			Take no further action as this page has been released
355	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies	Release "From" field

		18(1)(b) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
356			Take no further action as this page has been released
357	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
358			Take no further action as this page has been released
359	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
360			Take no further action as this page has been released
361	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
362			Take no further action as this page has been released
363	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
364			Take no further action as this page has been released
365	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
366			Take no further action as this page has been released
367	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
368			Take no further action as this page has been released
369	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
370			Take no further action as this page has been released
371 to 375			Take no further action as these pages have been released
376	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
377 to 379			Take no further action as this page has been released

380	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to body of email  14(1)(k) does not apply to email signature  28(1) does not apply;  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release the “From” field, the subject line, email signature  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
381	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email but not the subject line;  28(1) does not apply;  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release the “From” and “CC” fields;  Release the subject line  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
382	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) does not apply to the subject lines or the description of the attachments  14(1)(k) applies to body of email for email dated January 3, 2020 (timestamped 4:04pm)  28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release the email headers, include the “From” and “To” fields, the subject lines and attachment descriptions  Release the body of the email dated January 3, 2020 timestamped 6:45pm.  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
383	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) doesn't apply to the subject line  14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email  28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release the “From” and “to” fields  Release the subject line  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
384	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
385	28(1), 18(1)(b)	28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
386	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	28(1) applies to the last two sentences of the email  28(1) applies to the personal SaskTel email address that	Continue to the withhold the last two sentences of the email pursuant to 28(1)



		<p>appears at the bottom of the page</p> <p>14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email dated January 3, 2020 time stamped 7:36am</p> <p>18(1)(b) does not apply</p>	<p>Continue to withhold the personal SaskTel email address pursuant to 28(1)</p> <p>Release the subject line and the attachment description</p> <p>Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)</p>
387	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	<p>14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email</p> <p>14(1)(k) does not apply to the email signature</p> <p>28(1) does not apply</p> <p>18(1)(b) does not apply</p>	<p>Release the email headers, including the “From” and “To” fields and the subject lines.</p> <p>Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)</p>
388	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	<p>14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email dated January 2, 2020 (timestamped 12:00pm)</p> <p>14(1)(k) does not apply to the subject line</p> <p>28(1) does not apply</p> <p>18(1)(b) does not apply</p>	<p>Release the email headers, including the “From” and “To” fields and the subject lines.</p> <p>Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)</p>
389	28(1), 18(1)(b)	<p>28(1) does not apply</p> <p>18(1)(b) does not apply</p>	Release
390	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	<p>14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email</p> <p>14(1)(k) does not apply to subject line or the email signature</p> <p>28(1) does not apply</p> <p>18(1)(b) does not apply</p>	<p>Release the “From” field, subject line, and email signature</p> <p>Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)</p>
391			Take no further action as this page has been released
392	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email dated February	Release information withheld under 28(1)

		20, 2020, timestamped 12:43pm  14(1)(k) applies to the subject lines  28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
393	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) does not apply  28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
394	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
395			Take no further action as this page has been released
396	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) does not apply  28(1) does not apply  14(1)(i) does not apply  18(1) does not apply	Release
397	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email dated February 18, 2020 timestamped 9:09am  28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release subject lines  Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
398	28(1), 18(1)(b)	28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
399	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Release subject line  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
400	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies  18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
401	28(1), 18(1)(b)	28(1) does not apply	Release

		18(1)(b) does not apply	
402	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the subject line  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
403	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies  18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
404	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
405	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
406	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies  18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
407	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
408	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release
409	14(1)(k), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies  18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
410	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the photo  14(1)(k) does not apply to the subject line or the text  18(1)(b) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release "From" field, subject line, and text in email.  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
411	28(1), 18(1)(b)	28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
412	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release
413	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the photo  28(1) does not apply to email signature  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release email signature  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
414			Take no further action as this page has been released

415	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email but not the subject line  18(1)(b) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release the email header  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) for the body of the email
416	28(1), 18(1)(b)	28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release
417	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)  Release information that was withheld under 28(1)
418	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release
419	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release information that withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
420	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies  28(1) does not apply	Release name that was withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
421	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
422	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
423	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	28(1) does not apply  14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
424	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(i) does not apply  14(1)(k) applies  28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)  Release information that was withheld under 28(1)
425 to 426			Take no further action as these pages have been released

427	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
428	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)
429	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
430	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
431	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies to redacted portions of email bodies; 14(1)(k) does not apply to subject line 14(1)(i) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release subject lines; Release name and email address withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
432	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to redacted portions of email bodies 14(1)(k) does not apply to subject line 18(1)(b) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release subject line Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) Release information that was withheld pursuant to 28(1)
433	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	28(1) does not apply 14(1)(k) applies to photo 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) Release email signature
434			Take no further action as this page has been released
435 to 436	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
437	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
438	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
439	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies to the bodies of the emails 14(1)(k) does not apply to the subject lines	Release the subject lines Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)

440	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release name withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
441	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
442	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
443	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release information that was withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
444	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information that was withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
445	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
446	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information that was withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
447 to 448	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
449	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies; 14(1)(i) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release information that was withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
450	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(i)

451 to 452	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(a)	14(1)(k) applies  28(1) applies to the last paragraph of the second email on the page  14(1)(a) does not apply	Withhold the last paragraph of the second email pursuant to 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
453 to 467	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the bodies of the text messages.  28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)  Release the text message header (i.e. name of the individual sending texts to RPS)
468 to 469	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release
470	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply to the first text message on the page - does not appear to be related to law enforcement matter;  14(1)(k) applies to the last text message.  28(1) does not apply	Release first text message on page  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)  Release name at top of page
471 to 473	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of texts  28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)  Release name at top of the page
474	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply - all blurred text - cannot decipher contents therefore cannot withhold  28(1) does not apply	Release
475	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release
476	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the first three text messages;  14(1)(k) does not apply to the last text message	Release last text message  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)

		28(1) does not apply	Release name at the top of the page
477 to 478	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the texts  28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)  Release at the top of the page
479	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the first text message  14(1)(k) does not apply to the last text message  28(1) does not apply	Release last text message on the page  Release the name at the top of the page  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
480 to 481	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply since contents is blurry and cannot decipher contents  28(1) does not apply	Release
482	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply to calendar event information;  14(1)(k) applies to the remainder of texts on page  28(1) does not apply	Release calendar event information  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
483	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the texts  28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)  Release name at the top of the page
484 to 487	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the texts  28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)  Release name at the top of the page
488 to 489	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the first , fourth, fifth, and sixth text messages  14(1)(k) does not apply to the second and third text message  28(1) does not apply	Release second and third text message  Release name at the top of the page  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)



490	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply to the first, second, third text message  14(1)(k) applies to the fourth and fifth text message  28(1) does not apply	Release first, second, and third text message.  Release name at the top of the page  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
491 to 494	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the texts  28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)  Release name at the top of the page
495	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release
496	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the texts  28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)  Release name at the top of the page
497	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release
498 to 504	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release
505			Release RPS Access and Privacy Team Member's name
506	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release RPS Access and Privacy Team Member's name  Release entire page
507			Take no further action as this page has been released
508	28(1)	28(1) applies to sentences in the first paragraph of the email  28(1) does not apply to remainder of page as it is business contact information	Release RPS Access and Privacy Team Member's name  Continue to withhold the redacted sentences in the first paragraph of the email.

			Release the remainder of the page
509	28(1)	28(1) applies to the email address in the "From" field	Release RPS Access and Privacy Team Member's name  Withhold email address in the "From Field"
510	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) does not apply	Release RPS Access and Privacy Team Member's name  Release the entire page
511 to 512			Take no further action as these pages have been released
513	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release RPS Access and Privacy Team Member's name  Release the remainder of the page
514			Take no further action as this page has been released
515	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release RPS Access and Privacy Team Member's name  Release the remainder of the page
516	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
517			Release RPS Access and Privacy Team Member's name
518			Release RPS Access and Privacy Team Member's name
519			Take no further action as this page has been released
520			Take no further action as this page has been released
521 to 523	28(1)	28(1) applies	Release RPS Access and Privacy Team Member's name

			Continue to withhold information pursuant to 28(1)
524	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) does not apply	Release name of RPS Access and Privacy staff member  Release the file number
525			Take no further action as this page has been released
526			Release name of RPS Access and Privacy staff member
527			Take no further action as this page has been released
528	28(1)	28(1) applies to private individual's email address  28(1) does not apply to the Premier's email address	Release RPS Access and Privacy Team Member's name  Release the email address in the "To" field.  Continue to withhold private individual's personal email address pursuant to 28(1).
529	28(1)	28(1) applies to the information except for information in the second last paragraph	Release former and current public officials' names.  Continue to withhold information about private individual's life and contact information pursuant to 28(1).
530	28(1)	28(1) applies	Release RPS Access and Privacy Team Member's name  Continue to withhold information pursuant to 28(1)
531	28(1)	28(1) applies	Continue to withhold information pursuant to 28(1)
532	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release RPS Access and Privacy Team Member's name  Release remainder of the page

533	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
534			Take no further action as this page has been released
535 to 537	28(1)	28(1) applies	Release RPS Access and Privacy Team Member's name  Continue to withhold information pursuant to 28(1)
538	28(1)	28(1) applies to the private individual's email address and name  28(1) does not apply to mayor's email address or the premier's email address	Release RPS Access and Privacy Team Member's name  Release the "CC" field  Continue to withhold the "From" field and the name of the private individual
539	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release RPS Access and Privacy Team Member's name  Release entire page
540	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release RPS Access and Privacy Team Member's name  Release entire page
541			Take no further action as this page has been released
542			Release RPS Access and Privacy Team Member's name
543	28(1)	28(1) applies	Release RPS Access and Privacy Team Member's name;  Continue to withhold the private individual's name pursuant to 28(1)
544	28(1)	28(1) applies	Release name of RPS Access and Privacy staff member at the top of the page

			Continue to withhold personal information pursuant to 28(1)
545			Take no further action as this page has been released
546 to 549	28(1)	28(1) applies	Release name of RPS Access and Privacy staff member at the top of the page  Continue to withhold private individual's name and email address
550	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release name of RPS Access and Privacy staff member at the top of the page  Release public official's name, title, and email address
551 to 552	28(1)	28(1) applies	Release name of RPS Access and Privacy staff member at the top of the page  Continue to withhold private individual's name and email address
553	28(1), 15(1)(b)	28(1) does not apply  15(1)(b) does not apply	Release name of RPS Access and Privacy staff member at the top of the page  Release entire page
554	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release name of RPS Access and Privacy staff member at the top of the page  Release entire page
555 to 556	28(1)	28(1) applies	Release name of RPS Access and Privacy staff member at the top of the page  Continue to withhold individual's name pursuant to 28(1)
557			Release name of RPS Access and Privacy staff member at the top of the page

558 to 559			Take no further action as these pages have been released
560			Release name of RPS Access and Privacy staff member at the top of the page
561 to 564	28(1)	28(1) applies	Release name of RPS Access and Privacy staff member at the top of the page  Continue to withhold individual's name and email address pursuant to 28(1)
565 to 569	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release name of RPS Access and Privacy staff member at the top of the page  Release entire page
570			Take no further action as this page has been released
571	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) does not apply	Release subject line and attachment description
572	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
573	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) does not apply	Release subject line and attachment description
574	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)
575			Take no further action as this page has been released
576	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)
577	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
578	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
579	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	This page is a duplicate of page 426, which was released	Release in entirety.
580			Take no further action as this page has been released

581 to 583	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
584 to 587	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply 28(1) applies to the names of two individuals in body of email dated February 26, 2020 time stamped 10:05am	Withhold names of two individuals in email body of email dated February 26, 2020 timestamped 10:05am pursuant to 28(1). Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
588	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
589	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(i) does not apply 14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
590	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)
591	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
592	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
593	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)
594	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies; 14(1)(i) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) Release information that was withheld under 28(1)
595	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
596	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
597	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email but not the subject line	Release the subject line Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
598	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
599	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
600	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)

		14(1)(i) does not apply	
601 to 602	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
603	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
604	14(1)(k), 28(1)	28(1) applies 14(1)(k) does not apply	Continue withhold information pursuant to 28(1)
605	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
606	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
607	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
608	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
609	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
610	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
611	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
612	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
613	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) applies 28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)
614	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
615	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
616	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) applies 28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)



617	28(1)	28(1) applies	Continue withhold information pursuant to 28(1)
618	28(1)	28(1) applies to private individual's name and email address  28(1) does not apply to the premier's emails address	Release the "To" field  Continue to withhold private individual's name and email address
619	28(1)	28(1) applies	Continue to withhold individual's name, address and phone number
620	28(1)	28(1) applies	Continue to withhold individual's email address
621 to 623	28(1)	28(1) applies	Continue to withhold information pursuant to 28(1)
624			Take no further action as this page has been released
625	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
626	28(1), 13(2)	28(1) does not apply  13(2) does not apply	Release
627	28(1)	28(1) applies	Continue to withhold information pursuant to 28(1)
628	28(1)	28(1) only applies to private individual's name (the individual with an iCloud email address)  28(1) does not apply to information of City Councillor, City manager, or Mayor	Continue to withhold information of private individual with iCloud account pursuant to 28(1)  Release information of City Councillor, City Manager, mayor
629	28(1)	28(1) applies to private individual's name, address, and telephone number  28(1) does not apply to City Councillor's name or email address	Continue to withhold individual's name, address, and telephone number  Release City councillor's name and email address
630			Take no further action as this page has been released
631	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)

		28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
632	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
633	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
634	28(1)	28(1) applies	Continue to withhold pursuant to 28(1)
635	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
636	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
637	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
638	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
639	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
640	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
641	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
642			Take no further action as this page has been released
643	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)

			Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
644	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) applies 28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)
645 to 647	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)
648			Take no further action as this page has been released
649	28(1)	28(1) applies	Continue to withhold information pursuant to 28(1)
650			Take no further action as this page has been released
651	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
652	28(1)	28(1) does not apply to government email addresses, including the mayor's email address, City employees' email address, and Government of Saskatchewan email addresses  28(1) does not apply to other email addresses such as business email addresses  28(1) does not apply to email signature	Release business email addresses  Withhold email addresses that are personal email addresses pursuant to 28(1)  Release email signature
653			Take no further action as this page has been released
654	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
655	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(i) does not apply - not covertly collected  14(1)(k) applies  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
656			Take no further action as this page has been released
657	28(1)	28(1) applies	Continue to withhold under 28(1)

658	28(1)	<p>28(1) does not apply to government email addresses, including the mayor's email address, City employees' email address, and Government of Saskatchewan email addresses</p> <p>28(1) does not apply to other email addresses such as business email addresses</p> <p>28(1) does not apply to email signature</p>	<p>Release business email addresses</p> <p>Withhold email addresses that are personal email addresses pursuant to 28(1)</p> <p>Release email signature</p>
659	28(1)	<p>28(1) does not apply - government and/or business email addresses</p> <p>28(1) does not apply to email signature</p> <p>28(1) does not apply to attachment description</p>	Release
660 to 661	28(1)	28(1) applies	Continue to withhold under 28(1)
662	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	<p>14(1)(k) applies</p> <p>14(1)(i) does not apply</p>	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
663	14(1)(k), 28(1)	<p>14(1)(k) applies</p> <p>28(1) does not apply</p>	<p>Release information that was withheld under 28(1)</p> <p>Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)</p>
664	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	<p>14(1)(k) applies</p> <p>14(1)(i) does not apply</p> <p>28(1) does not apply</p>	<p>Release information withheld under 28(1)</p> <p>Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)</p>
665 to 667			Take no further action as these pages have been released
668	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	<p>14(1)(k) applies</p> <p>14(1)(i) does not apply</p>	Release information withheld under 28(1)

		28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
669	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
670	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
671	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
672	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
673	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
674	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
675			Take no further action as this page has been released
676 to 679	28(1)	28(1) applies	Continue to withhold information pursuant to 28(1)
680			Take no further action as this page has been released
681	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
682 to 685	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release
686	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(i) does not apply 14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1) Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)

687			Take no further action as this page has been released
688	28(1), 13(2)	28(1) does not apply 13(2) does not apply	Release
689	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
690 to 691	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
692 to 725			Take no further action as these pages have been released
726 to 728	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)
729	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)
730	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)
731	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies 14(1)(i) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)
732	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)
733	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
734	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the bodies of the emails but not the subject lines 28(1) does not apply	Release subject lines  Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
735	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the photos 28(1) does not apply 18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)  Release information withheld under 28(1)
736			Take no further action as this page has been released

737 to 738	28(1)	28(1) applies	Continue to withhold pursuant to 28(1)
739			Take no further action as this page has been released
740	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
741	28(1)	28(1) does not apply to business email addresses  28(1) does not apply to email signature	Release business email addresses  Release email signature  Withhold personal email addresses pursuant to 28(1)
742			Take no further action as this page has been released
743	28(1), 14(1)(i), 13(2)	28(1) does not apply  14(1)(i) does not apply  13(2) does not apply	Release
744	28(1), 13(2)	28(1) does not apply  13(2) does not apply	Release
745	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies to the bodies of the emails  14(1)(k) does not apply to the subject line	Release the subject lines  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
746	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(e), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies to the bodies of the emails  14(1)(i) does not apply  14(1)(e) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release subject lines  Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
747	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the photos  28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)  Release information withheld under 28(1)
748 to 749			Take no further action as this page has been released
750	28(1), 13(2)	28(1) does not apply 13(2) does not apply	Release
751 to 754	13(2)	13(2) does not apply	Release

755	28(1), 13(2)	28(1) does not apply 13(2) does not apply	Release
756 to 759			Take no further action as these pages have been released
760	28(1)	28(1) applies	Continue to withhold under 28(1)
761	28(1), 13(2)	28(1) does not apply 13(2) does not apply	Release
762 to 763	13(2)	13(2) does not apply	Release
764	28(1), 13(2)	28(1) does not apply 13(2) does not apply	Release
765			Take no further action as this page has been released
766 to 804			Take no further action as these pages have been released
805 to 809	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
810			Take no further action as this page has been released
811	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
812			Take no further action as this page has been released
813 to 818	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
819			Take no further action as this page has been released
820 to 822	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
823			Take no further action as this page has been released
824 to 825	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
826			Take no further action as this page has been released
827	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the photo  14(1)(k) applies to the bodies of the emails  14(1)(k) does not apply to the subject line	Release the subject line  Release the information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)



		28(1) does not apply	
828	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the photo  28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)  Release email signature
829	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
830	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email  14(1)(k) does not apply to the subject line	Release the subject line  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
831	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) does not apply to the subject line or attachment description	Release
832	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
833	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
834 to 836	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
837	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
838			Take no further action as this page has been released
839	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
840	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
841			Take no further action as this page has been released
842	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
843	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) does not apply	Release

844	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email but not subject lines  14(1)(k) applies to second attachment description but not the first.  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Release first attachment description  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
845	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release
846	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i) apply to the body of the email  14(1)(k) does not apply to the subject line  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Release subject line  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
847	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i) apply to the body of the email	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)
848	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
849	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release information that was withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
850			Take no further action as this page has been released
851	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) apply to the photo  14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email  14(1)(k) does not apply to the subject line  18(1)(b) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release information that was withheld under 28(1)  Release the subject lines  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
852	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(b) applies to the photo  28(1) does not apply	Release information that was withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
853	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
854	28(1)	28(1) does not apply to government email	Release government and email addresses

		addresses, including City employees and Government of Saskatchewan  28(1) does not apply to business email addresses  28(1) does not apply to email signature	Withhold personal email addresses pursuant to 28(1)  Release email signature
855			Take no further action as this page has been released
856	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
857	28(1)	28(1) applies	Continue to withhold information pursuant to 28(1)
858	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
859	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
860	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
861	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
862 to 863	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
864	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
865	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)

866 to 867			Take no further action as these pages have been released
868	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies 28(1) does not apply	Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
869 to 870	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)
871			Take no further action as this page has been released
872	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)
873 to 875			Take no further action as these pages have been released
876	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email but not subject lines  28(1) does not apply	Release subject lines  Release information withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
877	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release
878			Take no further action as this page has been released
879 to 880	28(1), 16(1)(b), 16(1)(d)	28(1) applies  16(1)(b) applies  16(1)(d) does not apply	Continue to withhold personal information pursuant to 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 16(1)(b)
881	16(1)(b), 16(1)(d)	16(1)(b) applies  16(1)(d) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 16(1)(b)
882			Take no further action as this page has been released
883	28(1), 16(1)(b), 16(1)(d)	28(1) applies  16(1)(b) applies  16(1)(d) does not apply	Continue to withhold personal information pursuant to 28(1)

			Reconsider discretion for 16(1)(b)
884 to 885	16(1)(b), 16(1)(d)	16(1)(b) applies 16(1)(d) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 16(1)(b)
886	28(1), 16(1)(b), 16(1)(d)	28(1) applies 16(1)(b) applies 16(1)(d) does not apply	Continue to withhold personal information pursuant to 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 16(1)(b)
887	16(1)(b), 16(1)(d)	16(1)(b) applies 16(1)(d) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 16(1)(b)
888			Take no further action as this page has been released
889 to 890	16(1)(b), 16(1)(d)	16(1)(b) applies 16(1)(d) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 16(1)(b)
891	28(1), 16(1)(b), 16(1)(d)	28(1) applies 16(1)(b) applies 16(1)(d) does not apply	Continue to withhold personal information pursuant to 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 16(1)(b)
892 to 893	16(1)(b), 16(1)(d)	16(1)(b) applies 16(1)(d) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 16(1)(b)
894			Take no further action as this page has been released
895	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i), 14(1)(e)	14(1)(k) applies to the bodies of the emails but not the subject lines  14(1)(e) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release information that was withheld under 28(1)  Release subject lines  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
896	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) apply to the photo  14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email  14(1)(k) does not apply to the subject line  18(1)(b) does not apply	Release information that was withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)

		28(1) does not apply	
897	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the photo  28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)  Release information that was withheld under 28(1)
898	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(e)	14(1)(k) applies to the bodies of the emails but not the subject lines  14(1)(e) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release information that was withheld under 28(1)  Release subject lines  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
899	14(1)(k), 28(1), 18(1)(b)	14(1)(k) applies to the photos  28(1) does not apply  18(1)(b) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)  Release information that was withheld under 28(1)
900			Take no further action as this page has been released
901	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply  28(1) applies to the names of two individuals in body of email dated February 26, 2020 time stamped 10:05am	Continue to withhold the two individuals names that appear in the body of the email dated February 26, 2020 time stamped 10:05am  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
902			Take no further action as this page has been released
903	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply  28(1) applies to the names of two individuals in body of email dated February 26, 2020 time stamped 10:05am	Continue to withhold the two individuals names that appear in the body of the email dated February 26, 2020 time stamped 10:05am  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
904	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)

905	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply  28(1) applies to the names of two individuals in body of email dated February 26, 2020 time stamped 10:05am	Continue to withhold the two individuals names that appear in the body of the email dated February 26, 2020 time stamped 10:05am  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
906			Take no further action as this page has been released
907	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply  28(1) applies to the names of two individuals in body of email dated February 26, 2020 time stamped 10:05am	Continue to withhold the two individuals names that appear in the body of the email dated February 26, 2020 time stamped 10:05am  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
908			Take no further action as this page has been released
909 to 910	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
911	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
912	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(i) does not apply  14(1)(k) applies  28(1) does not apply	Release information that was withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
913	28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
914 to 916	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)
917	14(1)(k), 28(1), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) applies  28(1) does not apply	Release information that was withheld under 28(1)  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
918 to 920	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) and 14(1)(i)
921 to 922	14(1)(k), 14(1)(i)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
923	14(1)(k), 28(1)	14(1)(k) applies  28(1) does not apply	Release information that was withheld under 28(1)

			Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
--	--	--	---------------------------------------



## Appendix B – Records listed on “Withheld Spreadsheet”

<b>Record #</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Exemptions applied by the RPS</b>	<b>IPC Finding</b>	<b>IPC Recommendation</b>
1	Internal RPS email (2 pages)	14(1)(i)	14(1)(i) applies to the second email in the email thread  14(1)(i) does not apply to first email (email that appears at very top of page 1)	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(i)
2	RPS email + handwritten notes (5 pages)	14(1)(c), 28(1)	28(1) does not apply	Release
3	Ministry of Corrections and Policing email to RPS (2 pages)	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	14(1)(i) does not apply  14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
4	Internal RPS email; Ministry of Corrections and Policing email to RPS (2 pages)	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	14(1)(i) does not apply  14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
5	Internal RPS email; Ministry of Corrections and Policing email to RPS (2 pages)	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	14(1)(i) does not apply  14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
6	Internal RPS email; City of Regina email to RPS (2 pages)	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	14(1)(i) does not apply  14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
7	Internal RPS emails (1 page)	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	14(1)(i) does not apply  14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
8	Internal RPS emails (2 pages)	16(1)(c), 16(1)(d)	16(1)(c) does not apply	Release

			16(1)(d) does not apply	
9	No record listed by for #9 on the "Withheld Spreadsheet"			
10	Internal RPS email (1 page)	16(1)(c)	16(1)(c) does not apply	Release
11	Internal RPS email (2 page)	16(1)(c)	16(1)(c) does not apply	Release
12	Internal RPS email (1 page)	16(1)(c)	16(1)(c) does not apply	Release
13	No record listed by for #13 on the "Withheld Spreadsheet"			
14	Document provided to RPS from unknown source (4 pages)	14(1)(i)	14(1)(i) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(i)
15	No record listed by for #15 on the "Withheld Spreadsheet"			
16	Internal RPS email (1 page)	14(1)(i)	14(1)(i) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(i)
17	Draft RPS Operational Plan (11 pages)	16(1)(c), 16(1)(d)	16(1)(c) applies to "Resources" subheading on page 1, "Execution" subheading on pages 7 to end of 9  16(1)(d) does not apply	Release document except for "Resources" subheading on page 1 and "Execution" subheading on pages 7 to end of 9.  Reconsider discretion for 16(1)(c) to determine if RPS can release the "Resources" subheading on page 1 and "Execution"

				subheading on pages 7 to end of 9.
18	Draft RPS Operational Plan (10 pages)	16(1)(c), 16(1)(d)	16(1)(c) applies to “Resources” subheading on page 1, “Execution” subheading on pages 7 to end of 9.  16(1)(d) does not apply	Release document except for “Resources” subheading on page 1 and “Execution” subheading on pages 7 to end of 9.  Reconsider discretion for 16(1)(c) to determine if RPS can release the “Resources” subheading on page 1 and “Execution” subheading on pages 7 to end of 9.
19	Draft RPS Operational Plan (10 pages)	16(1)(c), 16(1)(d)	16(1)(c) applies to “Resources” subheading on page 1, “Execution” subheading on pages 7 to end of 9.  16(1)(d) does not apply	Release document except for “Resources” subheading on page 1 and “Execution” subheading on pages 7 to end of 9.  Reconsider discretion for 16(1)(c) to determine if RPS can release the “Resources” subheading on page 1 and

				“Execution” subheading on pages 7 to end of 9.
20	Document provided by a third party to RPS (2 pages)	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	14(1)(i) applies 14(1)(k) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(i)
21	Internal RPS email (2 pages)	14(1)(a), 14(1)(c), 28(1)	14(1)(a) applies 14(1)(c) applies 28(1) applies to names of names of individuals that appear in email timestamped 8:43am	Continue to withhold names of individuals that appear in body of email timestamped 8:43am. Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(a). Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(c).
22	Internal RPS emails; City of Regina email to RPS (2 pages)	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	14(1)(i) does not apply 14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
23	RPS email + handwritten notes (5 pages) (duplicate of Record #2 listed above)	14(1)(c), 28(1)	14(1)(c) does not apply 28(1) does not apply	Release
24	Photos (3 pages)	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
25	Internal RPS email (1 page)	14(1)(a), 14(1)(c), 28(1)	14(1)(a) and 14(1)(c) applies to last sentence of email 28(1) applies to name of individual that appears in the	Release page except for the last sentence of the email. Withhold name of individual in the last sentence of the email pursuant to 28(1).

			last sentence of the email	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(a) and 14(1)(c).
26	Internal RPS email; City of Regina email to RPS (2 pages)	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	14(1)(i) does not apply  14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
27	Internal RPS email (2 pages)	14(1)(a), 14(1)(c), 28(1)	28(1) applies to the name of arrested individual that appears in the second paragraph of email timestamped 4:00pm  14(1)(a) and 14(1)(c) applies to second last paragraph of email timestamped 4:00pm	Withhold name of arrested individual in the second paragraph of email timestamped 4:00pm pursuant to 28(1).  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(a) and 14(1)(c)
28	Internal RPS email (2 pages)	14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
29	No record listed by for #29 on the "Withheld Spreadsheet"			
30	Internal RPS email (1 page)	14(1)(a), 14(1)(c), 28(1)	14(1)(a) applies  14(1)(c) applies  28(1) applies to names of names of individuals that appear in email timestamped 8:43am	Continue to withhold names of individuals that appear in body of email timestamped 8:43am.  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(a) and 14(1)(c).

31	Attachment to email listed at #7 (2 pages)	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	14(1)(i) applies  14(1)(k) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(i)
32	No record listed by for #32 on the "Withheld Spreadsheet"	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	14(1)(i) does not apply  14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
33	Internal RPS emails; Ministry of Corrections and Policing email to RPS (3 pages)	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	14(1)(i) does not apply  14(1)(k) applies	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
34	Internal RPS emails (3 pages)	14(1)(a), 14(1)(c), 28(1)	14(1)(a) and 14(1)(c) applies to last sentence of email  28(1) applies to name of individual that appears in the last sentence of the email	Release page except for the last sentence of the email.  Withhold name of individual in the last sentence of the email pursuant to 28(1).  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(a) and 14(1)(c).
35	Internal RPS emails (3 pages)	14(1)(a), 14(1)(c), 28(1)	14(1)(a) and 14(1)(c) applies  28(1) applies to names of suspects	Continue to withhold names of suspects.  Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(a) and 14(1)(c).
36	Internal RPS email; City of Regina email to RPS (2 pages)	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	14(1)(i) does not apply  14(1)(k) does not apply	Release
37	Internal RPS email (3 pages)	14(1)(a), 14(1)(c), 28(1)	14(1)(a) and 14(1)(c) applies  28(1) applies to names of suspects	Continue to withhold names of suspects.  Reconsider discretion for

				14(1)(a) and 14(1)(c).
38	Internal RPS emails; Ministry of Corrections and Policy email to RPS (3 pages)	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	14(1)(k) applies  14(1)(i) does not apply	Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k)
39	5 sets of spreadsheets (59 pages) – RPS explained spreadsheets were meant to track calls and complaints made to the RPS regarding strike.	14(1)(k), 28(1)	28(1) applies to names and/or phone numbers of private individuals that appear in the “Caller” column and in some of the “Details” column. But it does not apply to general descriptions of caller such as “Truck Driver”  14(1)(k) does not apply	Remove personal information such as names and/or phone numbers of private individuals that are in the “Caller” column and in the “Details” column. Then, release the remainder.
40	Handwritten notes (8 pages)	14(1)(c), 28(1)	14(1)(c) does not apply  28(1) does not apply	Release.

## Appendix C – Event Logs from Watch Command Records

RPS File name	Exemption applied	IPC Findings	IPC Recommendations
Dec 2019 – No Strike Mention.pdf (68 pages)	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	<p>The “Narrative” on page 32 is responsive. The remainder of this PDF file is not responsive.</p> <p>Neither 14(1)(i) nor 14(1)(k) applies to the “Narrative” on page 32</p>	<p>Redact personal information from the “Narrative” pursuant to 28(1) and release the remainder.</p> <p>Withhold the remainder of the PDF file as “not responsive”.</p>
Dec 2019 Combined.pdf (42 pages)	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	<p>The following portions are “responsive”:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “Comment” on page 1</li> <li>• The entire entry regarding the labour dispute on page 5 (but not the “Narrative” that appears at the top of the page, which is unrelated to labour dispute.)</li> <li>• First sentence in “Comment” on page 7</li> <li>• Second bullet in “comment” on page 11</li> <li>• Beginning at second sentence in “comment” on page 14</li> <li>• Second bullet in “comment” on page 19</li> </ul>	<p>Release the responsive portions of this file except for the incident entries on pages 5 and 32.</p> <p>Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) for pages 5 and 32.</p> <p>Withhold not responsive portions of this file.</p>



		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Second bullet point on “comment” on page 24</li> <li>• Incident entry regarding mischief on page 32</li> <li>• Fourth bullet in “comment” on page 33</li> <li>• Third bullet in “comment” on page 35</li> <li>• Second bullet in “comment” on page 39</li> <li>• Second bullet in “comment” on page 41</li> </ul> <p>Portions of this file not listed above are not responsive.</p> <p>I find that subsection 14(1)(k) applies to the entry that appears on pages 5 and 32.</p> <p>I find that subsection 14(1)(i) does not apply.</p>	
Jan 20 Combined – No Strike Mention.pdf (85 pages)	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	Entire file is not responsive	Withhold this file as it is not responsive.
Jan 20 Combined.pdf (19 pages)	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	The following portions are “responsive”:	Release the responsive portions of this file except for the 3 <sup>rd</sup> bullet in

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet in “Comment” on page 1</li> <li>• 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet in “Comment” on page 3</li> <li>• 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet in “Comment” on page 4</li> <li>• 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet in “Comment” on page 6</li> <li>• 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet in “Comment” on page 8</li> <li>• 2<sup>nd</sup> bullet in “Comment” on page 12</li> <li>• 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet in “Comment” on page 13</li> <li>• Comment on page 15</li> </ul> <p>Portions of this file not listed above are not responsive</p> <p>I find that subsection 14(1)(k) applies to the 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet in “Comment” on page 1</p> <p>I find that subsection 14(1)(i) does not apply</p>	<p>“Comment” on page 1</p> <p>Reconsider discretion for 14(1)(k) the 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet on page 1</p> <p>Withhold not responsive portions of this file.</p>
Feb 20 Combined – No Strike	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	Entire file is not responsive	Withhold this file as it is not responsive.

Mention.pdf (107 pages)			
Feb 20 Combined.pdf (1 page)	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	<p>3<sup>rd</sup> sentence in “Comment” on page 1 is responsive</p> <p>The remainder of this file is not responsive</p> <p>I find that subsection 14(1)(i) does not apply</p>	<p>Release the 3<sup>rd</sup> sentence in “Comment” on page 1.</p> <p>Withhold the not responsive portion of this file</p>
March 20 Combined – No Strike Mentioned.pdf (68 pages)	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	Entire file is not responsive	Withhold this file as it is not responsive.

**Appendix D – Additional records for Watch Command**

Page #	Exemption(s) applied by RPS	IPC finding	IPC Recommendation
1 to 2	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that 14(1)(k) does not apply  I find that 14(1)(i) does not apply	Release
3	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that 14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email  I find that 14(1)(i) does not apply	Release the email header and email signature.  Reconsider discretion for the application of 14(1)(k).
4	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that 14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email  I find that 14(1)(i) does not apply	Release the email header and email signature.  Reconsider discretion for the application of 14(1)(k).
5	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that 14(1)(k) applies to the first sentence of the second paragraph of the email dated December 7, 2019 timestamped 8:42am  I find that 14(1)(i) does not apply	Release the page except for the first sentence of the second paragraphs of the email dated December 7, 2019 timestamped 8:42am.  Reconsider discretion for the application of 14(1)(k).
6	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that 14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email  I find that 14(1)(i) does not apply	Release the email header.  Reconsider discretion for the application of 14(1)(k).
7 to 8	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that 14(1)(k) does not apply  I find that 14(1)(i) does not apply	Release
9	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that 14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email	Release the email header.  Reconsider discretion for the application of 14(1)(k).

		I find that 14(1)(i) does not apply	
10	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that 14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email  I find that 14(1)(i) does not apply	Release the email header.  Reconsider discretion for the application of 14(1)(k).
11	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that 14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email dated December 9, 2019 time stamped 12:11am  I find that 14(1)(i) does not apply	Release the email headers and the body of the email dated December 9, 2019 timestamped 1:35am.  Reconsider discretion for the application of 14(1)(k).
12	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that 14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email  I find that 14(1)(i) does not apply	Release the email header.  Reconsider discretion for the application of 14(1)(k).
13	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that 14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email  I find that 14(1)(i) does not apply	Release the email signature.  Reconsider discretion for the application of 14(1)(k).
14	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that 14(1)(i) applies to the body of the email  I find that 14(1)(k) does not apply	Release the email header.  Reconsider discretion for the application of 14(1)(i).
15	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies	Release
16	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including name, email address and IP address, and release the remainder of the page.

17	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	<p>I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies</p> <p>I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP</p>	<p>I recommend the RPS redact the third party's email address and release the remainder of the email.</p>
18 to 19	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	<p>I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies</p>	<p>I recommend the RPS release this page</p>
20	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	<p>I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies</p> <p>I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP</p>	<p>I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including name, email address, phone number and PO Box, and release the remainder of the page.</p>
21	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	<p>I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies</p> <p>I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP</p>	<p>I recommend the RPS redact the third party's email address and release the remainder of the email.</p>
22 to 23	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	<p>I find that 14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email dated December 11, 2019 timestamped 6:24am</p> <p>I find that 14(1)(i) does not apply</p>	<p>Release email header.</p> <p>Reconsider discretion for the application of 14(1)(k).</p>
24 to 27	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	<p>I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies</p>	<p>Release</p>
28	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	<p>I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies</p>	<p>Release</p>
29	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	<p>I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies</p>	<p>I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal</p>

		I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP	information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including name, email address, phone number, and release the remainder of the page.
30	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies	Release
31	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including email address, and release the remainder of the page.
32	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies	Release
33 to 34	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including name, email address, phone number, and release the remainder of the page.
35	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including email address, and release the remainder of the page.
36	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies	Release
37	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP,

		I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	including name, phone number and email address, and release the remainder of the page.
38	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.	Release
39	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including email address, and release the remainder of the page.
40	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including name and phone number, and release the remainder of the page.
41 to 43	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including name, phone number and email address, and release the remainder of the pages.
44	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including email address, and release the remainder of the page.
45 to 48	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal



		I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including name, address, phone number and email address, and release the remainder of the pages.
49 to 51	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that 14(1)(k) applies to the bodies of the emails (but not the email headers or email signatures).	Release the email headers and email signatures.  Reconsider discretion for the application of 14(1)(k).
52 to 53	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.	Release
54	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.	Release
55 to 56	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that 14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email.  I find that 14(1)(i) does not apply.	Release the email header.  Reconsider discretion for the application of 14(1)(k).
57	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.	Release
58	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that 14(1)(k) applies to the first three paragraphs.  I find that subsection 14(1)(i) does not apply.	Release email signature and the last two paragraphs of the email.  Reconsider discretion for the application of 14(1)(k).
59	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that 14(1)(k) applies to the bodies of the emails.	Release email signature.  Reconsider discretion for the application of 14(1)(k).
60	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that 14(1)(k) does not apply.  I find that 14(1)(i) applies.	Reconsider discretion for the application of 14(1)(i).

61	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.	Release
62 to 64	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that 14(1)(k) does not apply.  I find that 14(1)(i) applies.	Reconsider discretion for the application of 14(1)(i).
65	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that 14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email.  I find that 14(1)(i) does not apply.	Release the email signature.  Reconsider discretion for the application of 14(1)(k).
66	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including name, address, phone number, email address, and IP address, and release the remainder of the page.
67	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including the individual's name and email address, and release the remainder of the page.
68	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including name, address, phone number, email address, and IP address, and release the remainder of the page.
69	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP,

		I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	including the individual's name and email address, and release the remainder of the page.
70 to 71	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that 14(1)(k) applies to the body of the email.	Release email header.  Reconsider discretion for the application of 14(1)(k).
72	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.	Release
73	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including the individual's name and email address, and release the remainder of the page.
74	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including the individual's name and email address, and release the remainder of the page.
75	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.	Release
76	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including the individual's name and email address, and release the remainder of the page.
77	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP,

		I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	including the individual's name, phone number, and email address, and release the remainder of the page.
78	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.	Release
79	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including the individual's email address, and release the remainder of the page.
80	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.	Release
81 to 83	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including the individual's name, phone number and email address, and release the remainder of the pages.
84	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including name, address, phone number, email address, and IP address, and release the remainder of the page.
85	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including the individual's email address, and release the remainder of the page.

86 to 87	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	<p>I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.</p> <p>I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.</p>	<p>I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including the individual's name, address, phone number and email address, and release the remainder of the pages.</p>
88	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	<p>I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.</p> <p>I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.</p>	<p>I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including the individual's email address, and release the remainder of the page.</p>
89 to 90	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	<p>I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.</p> <p>I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.</p>	<p>I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including the individual's name, address, phone number and email address, and release the remainder of the pages.</p>
91	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	<p>I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.</p>	<p>Release</p>
92	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	<p>I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.</p> <p>I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.</p>	<p>I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including the individual's email address, and release the remainder of the page.</p>
93 to 94	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	<p>I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.</p> <p>I find that this page contains personal information as</p>	<p>I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including the individual's name, address, phone number</p>

		defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	and email address, and release the remainder of the pages.
95	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.	Release
96	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including the individual's email address, and release the remainder of the page.
97	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including address, phone number, email address, and IP address, and release the remainder of the page.
98	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including email address, and release the remainder of the page.
99 to 100	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including the individual's name, address, phone number and email address, and release the remainder of the pages.
101	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.	Release
102	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal

		I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including email address, and release the remainder of the page.
103	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.	Release
104	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including name and email address, and release the remainder of the page.
105	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.	Release
106	14(1)(i), 14(1)(k)	I find that neither 14(1)(k) nor 14(1)(i) applies.  I find that this page contains personal information as defined by 23(1) of LA FOIP.	I recommend that the RPS redact the third party individual's personal information pursuant to subsection 28(1) of LA FOIP, including email address, and release the remainder of the page.